Total Synthesis of the Macrolide Antibiotic Rutamycin B

David A. Evans,* Howard P. Ng, and Dale L. Rieger

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Received July 26, 1993®

Abstract: A convergent asymmetric synthesis of the macrolide antibiotic rutamycin B has been achieved through the synthesis and coupling of its spiroketal and polypropionate subunits. Both fragments were constructed utilizing auxiliarybased asymmetric aldol and alkylation reactions to control the absolute stereochemical relationships. The polypropionate fragment was assembled from its C_1-C_8 and C_9-C_{17} subunits, which were joined through a diastereoselective, mismatched, double-stereodifferentiating aldol reaction. Union of the spiroketal and polypropionate subunits was accomplished through a Suzuki coupling, providing direct access to the rutamycin seco acid, which was cyclized in high yield to the protected macrolide.

The molecular architecture associated with the macrolide antibiotics¹ has posed some of the greatest challenges for chemical synthesis, and this family of natural products has provided the stimulus for the development of a broad selection of highly stereoselective bond constructions.² In this study we describe the first synthesis of rutamycin B, a representative of the oligomycin/ rutamycin family of macrolides.³

Rutamycin A (1a) was isolated by Thompson and co-workers in 1961 from cultures of Streptomyces griseus.⁴ Its structure and relative stereochemistry were elucidated by X-ray diffraction.5



The discovery of this natural product was followed by the isolation of a close structural analogue, rutamycin B (1b), from Streptomyces aureofaciens by Keller-Schierlein,6 who assigned its relative stereostructure by NMR spectroscopy. The absolute stereochemical assignment of the rutamycins has recently been determined in this laboratory by comparing the spiroketal fragment obtained from degradation of the rutamycins with the identical fragment prepared through asymmetric synthesis.7 This stereochemical assignment is in agreement with the absolute

- * Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, November 1, 1993. (1) Macrolide Antibiotics; Omura, S., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984
- (2) (a) Paterson, I.; Mansuri, M. M. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3569-3624.
 (b) Boeckman, R. K.; Goldstein, S. W. In The Total Synthesis of Natural Products; Apsimon, J., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1988; Vol. 7, pp 1-139.
 (3) For a preliminary account of aspects of this study, see: Evans, D. A.;
- Ng, H. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2229-2232
- (4) Thompson, R. Q.; Hoehn, M. M.; Higgins, C. E. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1961, 474-480.
- (5) Arnoux, B.; Garcia-Alvarez, M. C.; Marazano, C.; Bhupesh, C. D.; Pascard, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 318-319. (6) Wuthier, v. D.; Keller-Schierlein, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1208-
- 1216.
- (7) Evans, D. A.; Rieger, D. L.; Jones, T. K.; Kaldor, S. W. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6260-6268.



Figure 1. Structures of the oligomycins and cytovaricin.

stereochemistry of cytovaricin, whose synthesis has also been accomplished in this laboratory.8

The rutamycins are members of the oligomycin family of macrolide antibiotics⁹ sharing a common 1,7-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecanyl ring system which is integrated into a 26-membered macrolactone ring biosynthesized largely from propionate units (Figure 1). The individual members of this family represent variations in the degree of oxidation at C_{28} and the substitution pattern at C_{26} . This family of structures also shares important structural similarities with cytovaricin and the closely related macrolides phthoramycin¹⁰ and kaimonolide.¹¹

Like cytovaricin, the rutamycins are cytotoxic in nature, preventing oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria by inhibiting H⁺-ATPase.¹² This may be explained in part by similarities in their natural conformation. The superposition of the the atoms in the 26-membered lactone ring and integrated spiroketal of

- Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1324–1327. (11) Hirota, A.; Okada, H.; Kanza, T.; Nakayama, M.; Hirota, H.; Osagai, A. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1989, 53, 2831–2833.
- (12) Pedersen, P. L.; Carafoli, E. TIBS 1987, 12, 146-150.

⁽⁸⁾ Evans, D. A.; Kaldor, S. W.; Jones, T. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7001-7031.

⁽⁹⁾ For leading references, see: (a) ref 1, pp 520–521. (b) Kobayashi, K.; Nishino, C.; Ohya, J.; Sato, S.; Shiobara, Y.; Nishimoto, N. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 1053-1057.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Nakagawa, A.; Miura, S.; Imai, H.; Imamura, N.; Omura, S. J.



Figure 2. Superposition of rutamycin A and cytovaricin X-ray structures.

Scheme I







rutamycin onto the 22-membered cytovaricin macrocycle reveals a striking three-dimensional homology for the two structures (Figure 2).

As a continuation of our efforts directed toward the development of methodology relevant to the syntheses of macrolide and polyether antibiotics, we have addressed the synthesis of rutamycin B. The following discussion describes the first total synthesis of this natural product.

Principal Fragments

The rutamycin skeleton was partitioned into the illustrated spiroketal and polypropionate fragments of comparable complexity through disconnection of the C_{17} - C_{18} and the acyl oxygen bonds (Scheme I). In the synthetic direction, each of these analogous bond constructions is based on reactions which are known to function reliably with complex substrates. For example, the synthesis of dienes through the Pd(0)-catalyzed coupling of vinyl iodides and either vinylstannanes (Stille)¹³ or vinyl boronates (Suzuki)¹⁴ is documented to work well with highly functionalized coupling partners. Finally, with the highly evolved methods for macrolactonization which are currently available,¹⁵ this process has become a more reliable, although system-dependent, transformation. In the following discussion, the syntheses and assemblage of the illustrated spiroketal and propionate fragments are described.

Synthesis of the Spiroketal Fragment

The synthesis of the rutamycin spiroketal fragment, although paralleling the plan used for the construction of the related cytovaricin moiety,⁸ included a number of simplifying steps (Scheme II). Since this aspect of the synthesis has appeared in print,⁷ the highlights of the successful route are summarized.

The illustrated synthesis of spiroketal 2 involves no more than 10 linear steps and proceeds in an overall yield of 25%. With the exception of the C_{33} and C_{27} stereocenters, all stereochemical relationships are controlled through the use of the illustrated (S)- and (R)-phenylalanine-derived imide chiral auxiliaries¹⁶ in their derived aldol¹⁷ and alkylation¹⁸ reactions. As in the synthesis of cytovaricin, triethylsilyl (TES) protection of the C_{23} hydroxyl group ensured that epimerization of the C_{24} methyl group did not occur during the deprotection/spiroketalization cascade. Finally, one of the major improvements in the efficiency of the synthesis plan hinged on the consecutive alkylation and acylation of acetone dimethylhydrazone to assemble the carbon skeleton in good overall yield.

Based on the success of the Suzuki coupling in the Kishi palytoxin synthesis,19 we elected to utilize this process for the union of the spiroketal and polypropionate subunits. Accordingly, spiroketal 2 was elaborated with a TES C25 alcohol protecting group (Scheme III), with the expectation that selective desilylation of this functional group could be achieved in the staging of the macrolactonization. Subsequent deprotection of the C19 pmethoxybenzyl (PMB) protecting group and Swern oxidation²⁰ of the derived primary alcohol afforded aldehyde 3 (95% over three steps), which was homologated to the vinylboronic acid 4 with lithiated bis(1,3,2-dioxaborin-2-yl)methane according to the Matteson procedure.²¹ Although the yields for the Matteson homologation appear to be high, during execution of the synthesis,, vinylboronic acid 4 was carried directly into the Suzuki coupling step without purification. The acceptable combined yield (77%) for this two-step sequence (vide infra) attests to the viability of both bond constructions.

- (17) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127-2129.
- (18) Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Mathre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1737-1739.

104, 1131–1139.
(19) (a) Armstrong, R. W.; Beau, J.-M.; Cheon, S. H.; Christ, W. J.; Fujioka, H.; Ham, W.-H.; Hawkins, L. D.; Jin, H.; Kang, S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Martinelli, M. J.; McWhorther, W. W., Jr.; Mizuno, M.; Nakata, M.; Stutz, A. E.; Talamas, F. X.; Taniguchi, M.; Tino, J. A.; Ueda, K.; Uenishi, J.; White, J. B.; Yonaga, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7525–7530. (b) Armstrong, R. W.; Beau, J.-M.; Cheon, S. H.; Christ, W. J.; Fujioka, H.; Ham, W.-H.; Hawkins, L. D.; Jin, H.; Kang, S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Martinelli, M. J.; McWhorther, W. W., Jr.; Mizuno, M.; Nakata, M.; Stutz, A. E.; Talamas, F. X.; Taniguchi, M.; Tino, J. A.; Ueda, K.; Uenishi, J.; White, J. B.; Yonaga, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7530–7533.

(20) (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43,
 2480-2482. (b) Omura, K.; Swern, D. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651–1660.
 (21) Matteson, D. S.; Moody, R. J. Organometallics 1982, 1, 20–28.

 ⁽¹³⁾ For leading references, see: (a) Stille, J. K.; Groh, B. L. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 1987, 109, 813–817. (b) Stille, J. K.; Sweet, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett.
 1989, 30, 3645–3648.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For leading references, see: (a) Miyaura, N.; Yamada, Y.; Suzuki, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 20, 3437–3440. (b) Miyaura, N.; Yamada, K.; Suginome, H.; Suzuki, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 972–980.

⁽¹⁵⁾ For references on macrolactonization in macrolide synthesis, see ref 2.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Evans, D. A.; Gage, J. R. Org. Synth. 1989, 68, 83-91.



^e (a) NaNTMS2, allyl iodide, THF, -78 °C. (b) LiOOH, THF-H₂O, 0 °C. (c) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C. (d) PMB-Br, NaH, THF-DMF, 0 °C. (e) 9-BBN, THF, 23 °C; NaOOH. (f) Swern oxidation. (g) *n*-Bu₂BOTf, Et₃N, A, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C. (h) AlMe₃, MeONHMe-HCl, THF, 0 °C. (i) TES-Cl, imidazole, DMF, 23 °C. (j) n-Bu2BOTf, Et3N, crotonaldehyde, CH2Cl2, -78 - 0 °C. (k) TsCl, Et3N, DMAP, CH2Cl2, 23 °C. (l) I2, THF-H2O, KH_2PO_4 , THF, $5 \rightarrow 10$ °C. (m) *n*-Bu₃SnH, AIBN, benzene, reflux. (n) Me₂C(OMe)₂, TsOH, 23 °C. (o) NaI, acetone, 23 °C. (p) LDA, THF, -78 °C. (q) HF, MeCN-H₂O, 23 °C. (r) TBSCI, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 23 °C. (s) SmI₂, Me₂CHOH, THF, 23 °C.

Scheme III⁴



^a (a) TESOTf, lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C. (b) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 5 °C. (c) Swern oxidation. (d) LiCH[B(-OCH₂CH₂CH₂O-)₂]₂, H₃O⁺.

Synthesis of the Polypropionate Fragment

Aldol Disconnection. The presence of carbonyl groups at C_7 and C_{11} , along with the associated hydroxyl functions at C_5 , C_9 , and C_{13} in the propionate fragment, provides the retrons for four possible aldol disconnections (Scheme I). The two "interior" aldol bond constructions that afford the highest level of convergency with regard to fragment complexity are illustrated in Scheme IV. By inspection, each of these projected reactions is a syn aldol construction, and each reaction is double-stereodifferentiating²² in nature. Of the two options, the stereochemical outcome of the projected C_9-C_{10} aldol reaction is unprecedented in the literature (eq 1). On the other hand, precedent for the

 C_8-C_9 syn aldol variant (eq 2) with achiral aldehydes has been established in this laboratory for titanium enolates (eq 3)²³ and by Paterson for boron enolates.²⁴ Based on this precedent, the C_8 - C_9 aldol bond construction was adopted for the synthesis plan. The major uncertainty associated with the successful execution of this reaction concerns the influence that the chiral aldehyde might have on the stereochemical outcome of this doublestereodifferentiating process. Numerous examples document the fact that (Z)-enolates belong to a special class of nucleophiles that exhibit selectivity for the anti Felkin aldehyde diastereoface,25 a π -facial bias which is incompatible with the desired stereochemical outcome of the projected aldol process. As a consequence, the chiral elements in the aldehyde and enolate fragments are stereochemically "mismatched" in the desired bond construction (eq 2). In spite of this stereochemical uncertainty, we felt that the C_9-C_{17} aldehyde might be manipulated at the C_{11} hydroxyl center, in both configuration and selection of protecting groups, so as to engineer the desired stereochemical outcome.

The complete retrosynthesis of the polypropionate fragment is illustrated in Scheme V. This plan relies on the utilization of the illustrated β -ketoimide 7²⁶ for seven of the 10 stereogenic centers which will be constructed by both aldol- and acylationbased bond constructions. The reduction of this plan to practice is described in the following discussion.

 C_9-C_{17} Subunit. Synthesis of the C_9-C_{17} subunit began with Swern oxidation of the (R)-alcohol 5 previously prepared in conjunction with our synthesis of ionomycin.²⁷ The resulting aldehyde 6 was treated with the (E)-boron enolate²⁸ derived from the β -ketoimide 7 to provide the desired anti aldol adduct 8 in

⁽²²⁾ For a leading reference to double diastereodifferentiating reactions e: Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1-30.

⁽²³⁾ Evans, D. A.; Rieger D. L; Bilodeau M. T; Urpí, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1047-1049

⁽²⁴⁾ The analogous syn aldol face selectivity has also been observed for boron enolates: Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1229-1232

^{(25) (}a) Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4151-4157. (b) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, J. V.; Taber, T. R. Top. Stereochem. 1982, 13, 1-115.
 (26) For a leading reference to β-ketoimides, see: Evans, D. A., Clark, J.

[;] Metternich, R.; Novak, V. J.; Sheppard, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990,

^{112, 866-868} and references cited therein. (27) Evans, D. A.; Dow, R. L.; Shih, T. L.; Takacs, J. M.; Zahler, R. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5290-5313.

Scheme IV

C9-C10 Aldol Bond Construction





C8-C9 Bond Construction Precedent



Scheme V



excellent yield (84%) and diastereoselectivity (97:3)²⁹ (Scheme VI). Although the stereochemical outcome of these aldol addition reactions had previously been established for achiral aldehydes, confirmation of the stereochemical outcome of this reaction was undertaken. Consistent with established precedent,³⁰ chelate-controlled reduction of ketone 8 with zinc borohydride³¹ afforded the *syn* diol 9 in 56% yield with 4:1 diastereoselection. Subsequent ketalization afforded acetonide 10. Analysis of the vicinal coupling constants in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 10 confirmed that the aldol reaction had indeed established the *anti* relationship between C₁₂ and C₁₃ and that the reduction had generated the *syn* 1,3-diol relationship between C₁₁ and C₁₃.

Since the C₁₁ hydroxyl-bearing stereocenter was viewed as a potential variable in the C₈-C₉ bond construction (Scheme IV, eq 2), the reduction of 8 to the corresponding *anti* diol 11 was also undertaken. This reaction was carried out with complete selectivity using sodium triacetoxyborohydride (NaBH(OAc)₃),³² and the derived acetonide 12 was analyzed by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to establish that the reduction had proceeded as expected.³³



The absolute stereochemical relationships associated with the $C_{11}-C_{13}$ stereotriad were determined by correlation with the C_{10} stereocenter, the configuration of which was secure. Sequential reduction of 11 to the corresponding triol 13 by the procedure of Penning (LiBH₄, MeOH, THF, 94%)³⁴ and regioselective protection with *p*-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal afforded the *p*-methoxybenzylidene acetal 14 in 91% yield.³⁵ Following silylation, analysis of the vicinal coupling constants in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 15 confirmed the relative stereochemical relationship between C₁₀ and C₁₁. This sequence of experiments established that the critical aldol reaction (7 \rightarrow 8) had proceeded in the expected fashion and that both the *syn* and *anti* diol intermediates 9 and 11, respectively, could be constructed in a stereoselective fashion.

At this juncture, the impact of the C_{11} stereocenter on the critical C_8 - C_9 bond construction had yet to be evaluated. The decision to carry the anti diol 11 (rather than the syn diastereomer 9) forward in the synthesis was based on the greater selectivity associated with the anti reduction process to give 11. Accordingly, acetal 15 was transformed to the fully functionalized C_{0} - C_{17} subunit by regioselective acetal cleavage with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H)³⁶ to give alcohol 16 in quantitative yield (Scheme VII). The cinnamyl moiety was transformed to the corresponding vinyl iodide by oxidation of the olefin (OsO4, N-methylmorpholine N-oxide)³⁷ followed by diol cleavage (NaIO₄, buffered with NaHCO₃). The resultant aldehyde was homologated to the desired vinyl iodide 17 by employing a modification of Takai's chromous chloride procedure (CrCl₂/CHI₃)³⁸ to give a 14:1 (E/Z) mixture of olefins, separable by preparative HPLC (79% yield over two steps). In independent studies conducted in this laboratory, it has been observed that a significant solvent effect exists in this reaction and that the (E/Z) olefin selectivity can be altered by manipulating this reaction parameter.³⁹ High yields and modest selectivities (ca. 4:1) were obtained when THF was employed as the solvent as prescribed by Takai. Optimum yields and improved selectivities (14:1) for the present reaction were obtained by using 6:1 dioxane/THF. Finally, Swern oxidation afforded the target aldehyde 18 in an overall yield of 33% over nine steps from β -ketoimide 7.

 C_1-C_8 Subunit. Synthesis of the C_1-C_8 subunit was initiated by acylation⁴⁰ of the titanium enolate derived from β -ketoimide

(39) Evans, D. A.; Black, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2260-2262.

⁽²⁸⁾ Evans, D. A.; Ng, H. P.; Clark, J. S.; Rieger, D. L. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 2127–2142.

⁽²⁹⁾ The unusually high facial preference of this particular *anti* aldol coupling is the result of the Cram preference of chiral aldehyde 6, *i.e.*, this is a "matched" diastereodifferentiating reaction.

⁽³⁰⁾ Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Le, T.; Mandel, N.; Mandel, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1154–1156.

 ⁽³¹⁾ Ito, Y.; Yamaguchi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 5385-5386.
 (32) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

<sup>1988, 110, 3560-3578.
(33) (</sup>a) Evans, D. A.; Rieger, D. L.; Gage, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 7099-7100. (b) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B.; Yang, G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3511-3515.

⁽³⁴⁾ Penning, T. D.; Djuric, S. W.; Haack, R. A.; Kalish, V. J.; Miyashiro, J. M.; Rowell, B. W.; Yu, S. S. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 307-312.

⁽³⁵⁾ The other possible acetals formed from derivatization of the C_{11} and C_{13} alcohols were also observed but in no more than 5% yield. (36) For other examples of the regioselective reductive cleavage of

⁽³⁶⁾ For other examples of the regioselective reductive cleavage of benzylidine acetals, see: Takano, S.; Akiyama, M.; Sato, S.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1593-1596.

⁽³⁷⁾ VanRheenen, V.; Kelley, R. C.; Cha, D. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 23, 1973-1976.

⁽³⁸⁾ Takai, K.; Nitta, K.; Ulimoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7408-7410.





^{*a*} (a) Swern oxidation. (b) (c-hex)₂BCl, EtNMe2, Et₂O, 0 °C. (c) Zn(BH₄)₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C. (d) Me₂C(OMe)₂, CSA, 23 °C. (e) NaBH(OAc)₃, AcOH, 23 °C. (f) Me₂C(OMe)₂, CSA, 23 °C. (g) LiBH₄, MeOH, THF, 0 °C. (h) ArCH(OMe)₂, CSA, DMF, 23 °C. (i) TBSOTf, lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C.



^a (a) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C. (b) (i) OsO₄, NMO, t-BuOH/THF/ H₂O, 23 °C. (ii) NaIO₄, NaHCO₃, 23 °C. (c) CrCl₂, CHI₃, dioxane/ THF, 23 °C. (d) Swern oxidation.

7 with the illustrated propionate-derived ortho ester⁴¹ to give ketal **19** in good yield (87%) and diastereoselectivity (93:7) (Scheme VIII). The facial bias exhibited in this reaction is that anticipated from electrophilic attack from the more accessible face of the chelated (Z)-titanium enolate. The final stereocenter in this fragment was introduced through the selective chelate-controlled reduction of ketone **19** with zinc borohydride (>97:3) to afford alcohol **20**, which was protected (TBSOTf/lutidine) to afford imide **21**.

In anticipation of elaborating 21 to the C_1-C_8 synthon, the terminal imide moiety was reduced to the corresponding alcohol, albeit in low yield. However, this problem was circumvented by transesterification of the imide to the thioester 22 (EtSH/*n*-BuLi) according to the excellent procedure reported by Damon.⁴² Reduction of this ester according to the Fukuyama procedure (Et₃SiH/Pd(C), acetone)⁴³ afforded aldehyde 23 in excellent yield (98% over two steps). It is noteworthy that this reaction sequence has proven to be attractive for other challenging imide \rightarrow aldehyde

Scheme VIII^a



^a (a) TiCl₄, *i*·Pr₂NEt, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C. (b) Zn(BH₄)₂, CH₂Cl₂, -20 °C. (c) TBSOTf, lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C. (d) EtSLi, THF, -78 °C \rightarrow 0 °C. (e) Et₃SiH, Pd/C, acetone, 23 °C. (f) (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂CO₂-*t*-Bu, *n*-BuLi, THF, 23 °C. (g) FeCl₃·SiO₂, acetone, 23 °C.

transformations which we have recently encountered.³⁹ The completion of this subunit was achieved by Horner-Emmons olefination⁴⁴ to **24** (>95:5 *E:Z*) followed by deketalization (FeCl₃·SiO₂, acetone)⁴⁵ to provide ketone **25** in 59% overall yield from β -ketoimide 7.

Assemblage of Subunits

The critical C_8-C_9 bond construction was achieved through reaction of aldehyde **18** with the titanium enolate²³ derived from ketone **25**, providing the *syn* adduct **26** in high yield (83%) and diastereoselectivity (97:3) (Scheme IX). Although the factors

⁽⁴⁰⁾ For an analogous acylation, see: Evans, D. A.; Urpí, F; Somers, T. C.; Clark, J. S.; Bilodeau, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8215–8216. The analogy for the $7 \rightarrow 10$ transformation was provided by Dr. Brett Huff from this laboratory.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Soulier, J.; Farines, M.; Authier, R.-M.; Fournier, M. J. Heterocyc. Chem. 1976, 13, 1125-1128.

⁽⁴²⁾ Damon, R. E.; Coppola, G. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2849-2852.

⁽⁴³⁾ Fukuyama, T.; Lin, S.-C.; Li, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7050-7051.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ For a lead reference, see: Thompson, S. K.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3386-3388.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Kim. K. S.; Song, Y. H.; Lee, B. H.; Hahn, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 404-407.



^a (a) TiCl₄, *i*-Pr₂NEt, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C. (b) TBSOTf, lutidine, CH₂-Cl₂, -30 °C. (c) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 5 °C. (d) Dess-Martin periodinane, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 23 °C.

controlling this exceptionally diastereoselective reaction have not yet been unambiguously determined, the illustrated C_{II} hydroxyl configuration and protecting group are both critical to the stereochemical outcome (vide infra).46

Silvlation of alcohol 26 under carefully defined conditions (TBSOTf, lutidine, -30 °C, 24 h) afforded ester 27. A complicating aspect of this transformation was the competitive Lewis acid-catalyzed cleavage of the tert-butyl ester, a side reaction which can be avoided with careful temperature control. Oxidative removal of the *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protective group with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) afforded alcohol 28, which was immediately transformed to the completed polypropionate fragment 29 through Dess-Martin oxidation⁴⁷ (76% yield over four steps).

It was surprising to observe the propensity of ketone 25 to undergo intermolecular aldol addition rather than intramolecular Michael addition (eq 4), in view of the fact that such reactions are well documented with these titanium enolates.48 In an earlier rendition of the synthesis, other observations which reinforced this concern were associated with the attempted aldol addition of imide 30 (eq 5). In attempting to execute this reaction, we observed competing intramolecular acylation (eq 6), which proceeded to the exclusion of the desired process. We therefore explored the aldol reactions of ketone 25 with some apprehension and were pleasantly surprised when these reactions performed so superbly.

Fragment Coupling and Deprotection

According to our synthesis plan, the spiroketal and polypropionate fragments were now joined by employing Kishi's modification⁴⁹ of the Suzuki coupling¹⁴ (aqueous solution of thallium



hydroxide/Pd(PPh₃)₄⁵⁰ in degassed THF) to give diene 31 in 77% yield (Scheme X). At this juncture, deprotection of the C_{25} alcohol and tert-butyl ester moieties was required for macrolactonization. Unfortunately, the C_{33} tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) protecting group was found to be more labile than anticipated.51 In initial deprotection experiments designed to set up macrocyclization, the tert-butyl ester and C25 TES-protected alcohol protecting group could not be deprotected without concomitant loss of the C_{33} TBS ether. In addressing this problem, we elected to exploit the observed side reaction (cleavage of the tert-butyl ester) noted in the silulation of alcohol 26. Thus, we found the optimum conditions for deprotection to be initial treatment of 31 with trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf)/lutidine at 0 °C to remove the tert-butyl group from the ester, followed by treatment with pyridinium hydrofluoride (buffered with excess pyridine) to selectively remove the C₂₅ triethylsilyl (TES) group to afford the desired hydroxy acid 32 in 98% yield.

Macrolactonization of seco acid 32 proved to be exceptionally challenging. The Keck macrolactonization procedure (DCC, DMAP, and DMAP·HCl in refluxing chloroform)⁵² that performed so well in our cytovaricin synthesis⁸ afforded a 2:1 mixture of lactones, of which the major product was the deconjugated lactone 34. In an effort to avoid this side reaction, macrolactonization procedures described by Mukaiyama,53 Corey,54 and Yamaguchi⁵⁵ were evaluated with similar results. In all instances, 34 was formed in significant amounts. Of these methods, the most promising was the Yamaguchi macrolactonization, which afforded a 1:1 mixture of lactones 33 and 34. Control experiments indicated that double-bond migration did not occur after macrolactonization. By default, it was concluded that this critical isomerization was probably occurring at the active ester stage of the lactonization process prior to ring closure. We postulated that this problem could be overcome by investigating lower temperatures for the macrolactonization process. To explore this possibility, we submitted the seco acid to conditions first reported by Yonemitsu (DMAP, Et₃N, trichlorobenzoyl chloride, and the seco acid in benzene at ambient temperature).56 We were pleased

(55) Inanagana, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, T.; Yamaguchi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989-1993.

^{(46) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Dart, M. J.; Duffy, J. L.; Rieger, D. L., manuscript in preparation. (b) Also see later discussion

 ⁽⁴⁷⁾ Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155–4156.
 (48) Evans, D. A; Bilodeau, M. T.; Somers, T. C.; Clardy, J.; Cherry, D.; Kato, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5750-5752.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Uenishi, J.; Beau, J.-M.; Armstrong, R. W.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4756-4758.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Prepared according to published procedures: Coulson, D. R. Inorg. Synth. 1972, 13, 121-124.

⁽⁵¹⁾ In a control study, significant desilylation of ketal 2 was observed when shaken with 0.3 M NaHSO, for 2 min. A survey of acidic conditions for desilylation showed that only HF-pyridine (buffered with excess pyridine) used under very closely monitored conditions was effective in removing the (52) Boden, E. P.; Keck, G. E. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2394–2395.
 (53) Mukaiyama, T.; Usui, M.; Saigo, K. Chem. Lett. 1976, 49–50.
 (54) (a) Corey, E. J.; Nicolaou, K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5614

^{5616. (}b) Also see: Corey, E. J.; Brunelle, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 3409-3412

Scheme X^{*}



^a (a) Pd(P(Ph₃)₄, TlOH, THF, 23 °C. (b) TMSOTf, lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C. (c) HF·pyridine, THF, 23 °C. (d) Cl₃C₆H₂COCl, DMAP, Et₃N, benzene, 23 °C. (e) HF (aqueous), CH₃CN, CH₂Cl₂, 23 °C.

to obtain exclusively lactone 33 from this reaction in 86% yield. Final deprotection with hydrofluoric acid/acetonitrile⁵⁷ afforded synthetic rutamycin [mp 128–130 °C; $[\alpha]^{23}_D -72.0^\circ$ (c 0.47, CHCl₃)] in 98% yield which coeluted by TLC and HPLC analysis with natural rutamycin B [mp 129–130 °C; $[\alpha]^{23}_D -72.8^\circ$ (c 1.21, CHCl₃)] in a number of solvent systems. In addition, the synthetic and natural samples were spectroscopically indistinguishable (IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, HRMS). This correlation thus confirms the Keller-Schierlein stereochemical assignment for this natural product.⁶

Double-Stereodifferentiating C8-C9 Aldol Bond Construction

The aldol fragment coupling of aldehyde **18** with the titanium enolate of ketone **25** (Scheme IX) is striking, and conventional wisdom suggested that this "mismatched" reaction should not have been so highly diastereoselective.²⁵ Initial studies indicated that the enolate's π -facial preference is compatible with the desired stereochemical outcome (Scheme IV, eq 3), and a stereochemical model for the reaction had been proposed by us (eq 7).²³ However, detailed studies of these aldol reactions with chiral aldehydes had not yet been reported.

Literature examples of double-stereodifferentiating aldol reactions with chiral aldehydes have established the modest trend that (Z)-enolates are typically more selective for the *anti*-Felkin aldehyde diastereoface.^{25a} Since enolate addition to the Felkin aldehyde diastereoface was desired, literature analogies led us to anticipate poor selectivity for this reaction. The unanticipated stereoselectivity observed in the C₈-C₉ aldol bond construction provided the stimulus to examine the complete set of related reactions shown below. In these reactions, the configuration and



protecting group on the β -oxygen-bearing stereocenter of the aldehyde constituent were systematically varied (Scheme XI).⁵⁸

As is evident from these cases, only one of the four possible permutations of the β -stereocenter and associated protecting group combinations on the aldehyde leads to a stereoselective aldol bond construction. As noted earlier, the more selective reduction of ketone 8 to the anti diol 11 (Scheme VI) led us to synthesize the aldehyde analogous to aldehyde 37b. Had we proceeded forward in the synthesis with the syn diol 9 (Scheme VI), the corresponding aldehyde, analogous to aldehyde 36b, probably would have delivered a much less diastereoselective aldol coupling. Our choice of the C_{11} p-methoxybenzyl protective group also proved to be crucial, as demonstrated by the low selectivity in the analogous aldol reaction of aldehyde 37a. Again, the selection of this protecting group was predicated upon the need to differentiate this hydroxyl group during the course of the synthesis (Scheme IX). The present aldol reaction (Scheme X) reveals a level of complexity which is not covered in the recent Roush analysis of double-stereodifferentiating aldol processes, 25a and further speculation on those factors contributing to reaction sterreoselectivity are premature. In an independent study, White and co-workers⁵⁹ have carried out a very similar C_8 - C_9 aldol bond construction under the conditions previously reported by us for the generation of titanium enolates.²³ Their results are in full agreement with the present investigation.

^{(56) (}a) Hikota, M.; Sakurai, Y.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron* Lett. **1990**, 31, 6367–6370. (b) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 7–9.

 ⁽⁵⁷⁾ Newton, R. F.; Reynolds, D. P.; Finch, M. A. W.; Kelly, D. R.; Roberts,
 S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 3981–3982.

^{(58) (}a) The third unpictured isomer is the other syn aldol adduct in Scheme XI. (b) The third unpictured isomer is the other anti aldol adduct in Scheme XI.

⁽⁵⁹⁾ White, J. D.; Porter, W. J.; Tiller, T. Synlet. 1993, 535-538.



Conclusions

Complex synthesis projects provide the stimulus for reaction development. The continued development of β -ketoimide-based bond constructions and their use in complex reactions (Schemes VI, VIII) are good illustrations of this point. This dipropionyl synthon which has recently been employed in the synthesis of calyculin⁶⁰ is proving to be a useful building block in the syntheses of propionate natural products. In a related area, when the synthesis of rutamycin was undertaken, our parallel investigations into double-stereodifferentiating aldol reactions were in the early stages of development. At that time, the projected C_8 -C₉ aldol coupling reaction was viewed as a serious uncertainty in the synthesis plan. The fact that the reaction has proven to be so highly stereoselective has strongly influenced our subsequent methodological studies in this area. The present investigation illustrates the importance of aldehyde structure, at both the α and β stereocenters, in contributing to the overall stereoselectivities in these reactions and provides a cautionary note to those attempting to employ the simple stereoinduction models for predicting π -facial selectivities.

Experimental Section

General.⁶⁰ Organolithium reagents were titrated according to the method of Brown.⁶¹ 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal,⁶² 2-ethyl-2-ethoxy-1,3-dioxalane,⁴¹ zinc borohydride Zn(BH₄)₂,⁶³ ferric chloride/ silica gel complex (FeCl₃·SiO₂),⁴⁵ tert-butyl diethylphosphonoacetate,⁶⁴ bis(1,3,2-dioxaborin-2-yl)methane,²¹ and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0)⁵⁰ were all prepared according to literature procedures. The Dess-Martin periodinane was formed using a modification of the literature procedure⁴⁷ in which the hydroxiodinane oxide intermediate was heated to 80 °C with acetic anhydride and acetic acid only until dissolution was complete (ca. 10 min). Typically, all nonorganometallic, commercially obtained reagents were purified by distillation or recrystallization prior to use.

When NMR data are given, all J values are in hertz. [2S,2-(3R),3R,4S,6R,8S,8(2R),9S]-3,9-Dimethyl-8-(2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-2-(3-ethyl-3-formylpropyl)-4-(triethylsiloxy)-1,7dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (3). To a solution of 200 mg (0.34 mmol) of alcohol 2 in 4.4 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C was added 60 µL (55 mg; 0.51 mmol) of 2,6-lutidine and 92 µL (108 mg; 0.41 mmol) of tertbutyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate. After the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 90 min, 30 mL of 0.3 M aqueous NaHSO₄ was added. This was extracted with three 40-mL portions of CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 235 mg (98%) of the silvl ether as a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 45^{\circ}$ (c 0.92, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2957, 2877, 1614, 1587, 1514, 1462, 1384, 1248,

1181, 1016, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 6.7, 2H, ArH), 6.87 (d, J = 6.7, 2H, ArH), 4.42 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 4.14 (m, 1H, C4-H), 3.80-3.75 (m, 4H, C8-H, ArOCH3), 3.72 (m, 1H, C2-H), 3.48 (m, 1H, C₈-C₂-H), 3.33 (d, $J = 5.7, 2H, C_2$ -C₄-H₂), 2.06 (m, 1H, C10-Hax), 1.69 (m, 1H, C5-Hax), 1.62-1.24 (m, 15H, C2-C1'H2, C2-C2~H2, C2-C3-H, C2-C3-C1~H2, C3-H, C5-Heq, C8-C1~H2, C9-H, C10- H_{eq} , $C_{11}-H_2$), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.0, 3H, C_8-C_3-H_3$), 0.97-0.91 (m, 12H, C9-CH3, SiCH2CH3), 0.88-0.86 (m, 12H, C2-C3'-C2"-H3, SiC(CH3)3), $0.81 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_3-CH_3), 0.57 (q, J = 8.0, 6H, Si(CH_2CH_3)_3), 0.04$ (s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 131.0, 129.0, 113.7, 97.4, 72.8, 72.6, 71.2, 69.2, 67.81, 67.77, 55.2, 53.3, 43.6, 39.9, 39.8, 39.1, 30.0, 29.7, 27.9, 26.5, 25.9, 24.6, 23.7, 18.1, 11.2, 11.0, 6.8, 5.0, 4.2, -4.4, -4.6. Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₇₄O₆Si₂: C, 67.94; H, 10.55. Found: C, 67.85; H, 10.62.

To a solution of 220 mg (0.311 mmol) of the above *p*-methoxybenzyl ether in 4 mL of 18:1 CH₂Cl₂/water at 5 °C was added 92 mg (0.404 mmol) of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ). After the mixture was stirred vigorously for 2 h, 10 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution was added, and the mixture was poured into 20 mL of deionized water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 180 mg (99%) of the alcohol as a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 57.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.20, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3428 (b), 2957, 2878, 1462, 1385, 1250, 1075, 1007, 978, 835, 774, 744 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.14 (m, 1H, C4-H), 3.80 (m, 1H, C8-H), 3.73 (m, 1H, C2-H), 3.56-3.50 (m, 3H, $C_8-C_{2'}-H, C_2-C_{4'}-H_2), 2.05 (m, 1H, C_{10}-H_{ax}), 1.69 (m, 1H, C_5-H_{ax}),$ 1.59-1.30 (m, 15H, C2-C1'H2, C2-C2'H2, C2-C3'H, C2-C3'-C1'H2, $C_3-H, C_5-H_{eq}, C_8-C_{1}-H_2, C_9-H, C_{10}-H_{eq}, C_{11}-H_2), 1.20 (d, J = 6.0, 3H,$ $C_8-C_3-H_3$, 0.97-0.89 (m, 15H, C₉-CH₃, C₂-C₃-C₂-H₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.88 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₃-CH₃),0.82 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₃-CH₃), 0.57 (q, $J = 8.0, 6H, Si(CH_2CH_3)_3), 0.05 (s, 6H, Si(CH_3)_2); {}^{13}C NMR (125)$ MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.5, 71.2, 69.2, 67.8, 67.7, 65.1, 43.6, 42.3, 39.8, 39.2, 30.0, 29.9, 29.7, 27.5, 26.5, 25.9, 24.6, 23.4, 18.1, 11.1, 11.0, 6.8, 5.0, 4.2, -4.4, -4.6; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 609.4346, found 609.4361.

To a solution of 32 μ L (48 mg; 0.37 mmol) of oxalyl chloride in 1.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added 52 µL (57 mg; 0.74 mmol) of DMSO. This was stirred at -78 °C for 20 min, and then 180 mg (0.307 mmol) of the above alcohol in 1.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise via cannula. After 15 min, 102 μ L (74 mg; 0.74 mmol) of Et₃N was added. The cloudy mixture was allowed to warm to -10 °C over 1 h and then was poured into 15 mL of 1:1 brine/water solution and 20 mL of Et₂O. The organic layer was separated and washed further with 15 mL of 1:1 brine/ water solution and then 15 mL of brine. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with two 20-mL portions of Et₂O. The organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 176 mg (98%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 57^{\circ}$ (c 0.53, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2958, 2879, 1730, 1460, 1384, 1249, 1074, 1004, 978, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.56 (d, J = 2.8, 1H, CHO), 4.11 (dt, $J = 4.9, 11.4, 1H, C_4-H$, 3.79 (m, 1H, C₈-H), 3.68 (dt, J = 2.4, 7.0, 1H, C_2 -H), 3.51 (dq, J = 2.0, 9.0, 1H, C_8 - C_2 -H), 2.17 (m, 1H, C_2 - C_3 -H), 2.02 (m, 1H, C10-Hax), 1.87 (m, 1H, C5-Hax), 1.69-1.31 (m, 14H, C2-C1'H2, C2-C2'-H2, C2-C3-C1'-H2, C3-H, C5-Heq, C8-C1'-H2, C9-H, C10- H_{eq} , C_{11} - H_2), 1.18 (d, $J = 4.0, 3H, C_8$ - C_3 - H_3), 0.95-0.89 (m, 15H, C₉-CH₃, C₂-C₃-C₂"-H₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.78 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₃-CH₃), $0.55 (q, J = 8.0, 6H, Si(CH_2CH_3)_3), 0.04 (s, 6H, Si(CH_3)_2); {}^{13}C NMR$

⁽⁶⁰⁾ For a general discussion of the spectrometers employed and solvent drying procedures, see: Evans, D. A.; Gage, J. R.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 9434–9453. (61) Brown, C. A. Synthesis **1974**, 427–428.

 ⁽⁶²⁾ Kloosterman, M.; Slaghek, T.; Hermans, J. P. G.; van Boom, J. H.
 Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1984, 103, 335–341.

⁽⁶³⁾ Gensler, W. J.; Johnson, F.; Sloan, A. D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82. 6074-6081

⁽⁶⁴⁾ Erion, M. D., Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 3417-3425.

 $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 204.8, 97.5, 70.8, 69.2, 67.8, 67.6, 53.4, 43.6, 39.7, 39.2, 30.2, 30.0, 29.6, 26.5, 25.9, 25.6, 24.6, 21.6, 18.1, 11.3, 11.2, 6.8, 5.0, 4.2, -4.4, -4.5; HRMS (FAB) <math>m/z$ calcd for $[M + Na]^+ 607.4190$, found 607.4199.

[2S,2(3R),3R,4S,6R,8S,8(2R),9S]-3,9-Dimethyl-8-(2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-2-(3-ethyl-5-(dihydroxyboryl)-4-pentenyl)-4-(triethylsiloxy)-1,7-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (4). To a solution of 261 mg (1.85 mmol) of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine in 4.0 mL of THF at 0°C was added 1.03 mL (1.54 mmol) of 1.50 M n-butyllithium in hexane. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and then at ambient temperature for 30 min. It was recooled to -78 °C, and 340 mg (1.85 mmol) of bis(1,3,2-dioxaborin-2-yl)methane²¹ in 1 mL of THF was added, followed by 279 μ L (215 mg; 1.85 mmol) of TMEDA. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 40 min and then at 0 °C for 30 min. Aldehyde 3 (90 mg; 0.154 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL + 0.5 mL wash) was added via cannula, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and then at ambient temperature for 10 min. The mixture was diluted with 60 mL of EtOAc and washed sequentially with 40 mL of brine, 40 mL of 1:9 1.0 N aqueous HCl solution/brine solution, 40 mL of deionized water, 40 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution, and finally 40 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give 100 mg (103%) of the vinyl boronic acid as a clear, slightly yellow oil. The product oil was not purified but was rather used immediately after preparation.

[3(2R,3S,4S,5S,6R,8E),4R]-3-(3,5-Dihydroxy-2,4,6-trimethyl-1-oxo-9-phenyl-8-nonenyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (9). To a solution of 138 mg (0.300 mmol) of ketone 828 in 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added 1.7 mL (0.45 mmol) of 0.2 M zinc borohydride (Zn(BH₄)₂) in Et₂O. This was allowed to warm to 0 °C over 3 h, and then 5 mL of saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution was added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then poured into 25 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 10 mL of brine. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL), and the organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous Na₂-SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the unpurified mixture by ¹H NMR (400 MHz) showed a 4:1 ratio of diols 9 to 11. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel produced 78 mg (56%) of 9 as a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ -63.5° (c 0.89, CCl₄); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3490 (b), 2975, 2930, 1783, 1699, 1385, 1240, 1041, 968, 645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.18 (m, 10H, ArH), 6.42 (d, J = 15.8, 1H, C₉-H), 6.25 (m, 1H, C₈-H), 4.68 (m, 1H, H₃), 4.20-4.15 (m, 3H, H_4 , H_5 , C_3 -H), 3.94 (d, J = 7.9, 1H, C_5 -H), 3.62 (dd, J = 1.9, 8.9, 1H, C_2 -H), 3.26 (dd, J = 3.3, 13.4, 1H, H₁), 2.77 (dd, J = 9.5, 13.5, 1H, H₄), 2.35 (m, 1H, C7-H), 2.26 (m, 1H, C7-H), 1.86-1.72 (m, 2H, C4-H, C6-H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 0.92 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C₄-CH₃), 0.78 $(d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_6-CH_3)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.8, 153.9, 137.7, 134.9, 131.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.9, 128.4, 127., 126.8, 125.9, 76.7, 66.2, 55.1, 39.6, 38.0, 37.8, 37.7, 36.6, 35.6, 12.7, 11.9, 9.6; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 488.2413, found 488.2414.

[4R,4(1R,2(4R)),5S,6S,6(1R)]-4-(1-Methyl-2-oxo-2-(2-oxo-4-(phenylmethyl)-N-oxazolidinyl)ethyl)-6-(1-methyl-4-phenyl-3-butenyl)-2,2,5trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (10). To a solution of 17 mg (0.036 mmol) of diol 9 in 1 mL of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and 1 mL of anhydrous acetone was added 3.0 mg (0.013 mmol) of anhydrous camphorsulfonic acid (CSA). This mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 h, and then two drops of triethylamine were added, and the solution was stirred for 5 min. All volatiles were then removed in vacuo, and the resultant residue was taken up in 2 mL of deionized water and 3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The aqueous layer was separated and extracted further with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 3 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel produced 16 mg (89%) of the acetonide 10 as a colorless oil: [α]_D -72.1° (c 0.67, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 3015, 2980, 2940, 1785, 1715, 1600, 1455, 1380, 1355, 1200 (b), 1015, 700, 690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35-7.19 (m, 10H, ArH), 6.35 (d, J = 15.8, 1H, C₆-C4'-H), 6.17 (m, 1H, C6-C3'-H), 4.55 (m, 1H, C4-C2-H3), 4.17-4.09 $(m, 2H, C_4-C_{2'}-H_4, C_4-C_{2'}-H_5), 4.00 (m, 1H, C_4-C_{1'}-H), 3.85 (dd, J =$ 4.5, 10.0, 1H, C₄-H), 3.48 (dd, J = 5.7, 16.6, 1H, C₆-H), 3.37 (dd, J =5.7, 16.6, 1H, C₄-C_{2'}-H₁), 2.74 (dd, J = 9.4, 13.5, 1H, C₄-C_{2'}-H₄), 2.30-2.10 (m, 2H, C₆-C₂-H₂), 1.85 (m, 1H, C₆-C₁-H), 1.64 (m, 1H, C_5 -H), 1.34 (s, 3H, C_2 -CH₃), 1.27 (s, 3H, C_2 -CH₃), 1.20 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₄-C₁·-CH₃), 0.90 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C₆-C₁·-CH₃), 0.79 (d, J = 6.7, 3H, C₅-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.6, 153.4, 137.8, 135.5, 131.2, 129.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.5, 127.3, 126.8, 125.9, 97.9, 75.1, 74.3, 66.2, 56.3, 40.2, 37.7, 37.5, 33.6, 33.0, 29.9, 19.5, 12.5, 10.8, 8.9; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 528.2726, found 528.2746.

[3(2R,3R,4S,5S,6R,8E),4R]-3-(3,5-Dihydroxy-2,4,6-trimethyl-1-oxo-9-phenyi-8-nonenyi)-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (11). To 8.5 mL of glacial acetic acid in a cold water bath was slowly added 197.0 mg (5.20 mmol) of sodium borohydride in small portions. At the end of the addition, another 8.0 mL of glacial acetic acid was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. In a separate flask, ketone 8 (220 mg; 0.47 mmol) was azeotropically dried with toluene ($2 \times 5 \text{ mL}$) and dissolved in 3.3 mL of glacial acetic acid. The borohydride solution was then rapidly transerred to this solution via cannula. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, whereupon all volatiles were removed in vacuo. Toluene was used to azeotropically remove residual acetic acid. The resultant residue was dissolved in 30 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 30 mL of 5% aqueous NaHCO3 solution. The organic layer was separated and shaken vigorously for 10 min with saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate solution. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with three 20-mL portions of CH2Cl2, and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel produced 179 mg (81%) of a clear, colorless oil: [\alpha]_D -69.3° (c 0.98, CCl₄); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3490 (b), 2975, 2930, 1783, 1699, 1385, 1240, 1041, 968, 645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.17 (m, 10H, ArH), 6.39 (d, $J = 15.8, 1H, C_9$ -H), 6.20 (m, 1H, C₈-H), 4.70 (m, 1H, H₃), 4.30 (m, 1H, C₃-H), 4.20-4.01 (m, $3H, H_4, H_5, C_2-H), 3.51 (d, J = 5.5, 1H, C_5-H), 3.23 (dd, J = 5.7, 16.6, J$ 1H, H₁), 2.77 (dd, J = 9.4, 13.4, 1H, H₄), 2.35–2.30 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 2.18-2.14 (m, 1H, C7-H), 1.91-1.84 (m, 2H, C4-H, C6-H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 0.99–0.94 (q, 6H, C₄-CH₃, C₆-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.7, 153.5, 135.1, 131.4, 129.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 125.9, 77.0, 73.2, 66.1, 55.1, 41.0, 37.8, 37.7, 35.8, 35.6, 14.3, 13.5, 10.0; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]+ 488.2413, found 488.2414. Anal. Calcd for C28H35NO5: C, 72.23; H, 7.58. Found: C, 71.81; H, 7.66.

[4S,4(1R,2(4R)),5S,6S,6(1R)]-4-(1-Methyl-2-oxo-2-2-oxo-4-(phenylmethyl)-N-oxazolidinyl)ethyl)-6-(1-methyl-4-phenyl-3-butenyl)-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (12). To a solution of 28 mg (0.060 mmol) of diol 11 in 1 mL of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and 1 mL of anhydrous acetone was added 3.0 mg (0.013 mmol) of anhydrous CSA. This mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 h, and then two drops of triethylamine were added, and the solution was stirred for 5 min. All volatiles were then removed in vacuo, and the resultant residue was taken up in 2 mL of deionized water and 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted further with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 3 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by preparative TLC (0.5-mm plate, 30% ethyl acetate/hexane) produced 23 mg (77%) of the acetonide 12 as a colorless oil: [α]_D-61.7° (c 0.81, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 3030, 2980 (b), 2940, 1790, 1705, 1600, 1500, 1455, 1380, 1350, 1240, 1225, 1190, 1020, 970, 880, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26-7.10 (m, 10H, ArH), $6.23 (d, J = 12.6, 1H, C_6 - C_1 - H), 6.11 (m, 1H, C_6 - C_1 - H), 4.56 (m, 1H, C_6 - C_1 - H)$ $C_4-C_{2'}-H_5$), 4.07 (m, 2H, $C_4-C_{2'}-H_4$, $C_4-C_{2'}-H_5$), 3.92 (m, 2H, $C_4-C_{2'}-H_5$) $C_{1'}$ -H, C_{6} - $C_{1'}$ -H), 3.17 (m, 2H, C_{4} - $C_{2'}$ -H, C_{6} -H), 2.72 (dd, J = 9.6, 13.4, 1H, C₄-C₂-H₄), 2.26 (m, 1H, C₆-C₂-H), 2.08 (m, 1H, C₆-C₂-H), 1.83 (m, 1H, C₅-H), 1.64 (m, 1H, C₆-C₁-H), 1.20 (s, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 1.16 (s, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 1.07 (d, J = 6.0, 3H, C₄-C_{1'}-CH₃), 0.90 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C₅-CH₃), 0.83 (d, J = 6.7, 3H, C₆-C₁-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) & 175.5, 153.3, 137.8, 135.4, 131.3, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 128.5, 127.3, 126.8, 125.9, 100.6, 77.2, 71.5, 66.0, 55.4, 38.0, 37.8, 37.3, 36.5, 34.2, 25.0, 23.6, 14.0, 13.1, 12.3; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]+ 528.2726, found 528.2714.

[2S,3S,4S,5S,6R,8E]-2,4,6-Trimethyl-9-phenyl-non-8-ene-1,3,5-triol (13). To a solution of 190 mg (0.408 mmol) of imide 11 in 9.0 mL of THF and 36 µL (28 mg; 0.90 mmol) of methanol at 0 °C was added 0.45 mL (0.90 mmol) of 2.0 M lithium borohydride (LiBH₄) in THF. Gas evolution was observed. After the solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, the reaction was quenched by careful addition of 6 mL of 1.0 M aqueous NaOH solution. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min and then poured into 20 mL of Et₂O and 20 mL of deionized water. The ethereal layer was separated and washed with 10 mL of brine. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with Et_2O (2 × 20 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant oil was purified by flash chromatography to give 112 mg (94%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}$ D 13.4° (c 0.64, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3350 (b), 2966, 2931, 1598, 1494, 1460, 1028, 968, 742, 693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36-7.18 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.39 (d, $J = 15.8, 1H, C_9$ -H), 6.20 (m, 1H, C₈-H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.1, 1H, C₅-H), 3.95 (d, J = 9.6, 1H, C₃-H), 3.65 (q, J =

8.6, 1H, C₁-H), 3.46 (t, J = 5.6, 1H, C₁-H), 2.31 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 2.11 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 1.90–1.79 (m, 3H, C₂-H, C₄-H, C₆-H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 0.94 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C₄-CH₃), 0.75 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₆-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.5, 131.4, 128.7, 128.4, 126.9, 125.9, 77.8, 76.7, 68.9, 37.6, 37.1, 36.1, 35.8, 13.9, 13.1, 10.1; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 315.1936, found 315.1931.

[2S,4S,4(1R,2S,3R,5E),5S]-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-4-(2-hydroxy-1,3-dimethyl-6-phenyl-5-hexenyl)-1,3-dioxane (14). To a solution of 75 mg (0.256 mmol) of triol 13 in 3.0 mL of DMF were added 70 mg (0.384 mmol) of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal and 5 mg (21 mmol) of anhydrous CSA. This was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h and then diluted with 10 mL of 10:1 hexanes/CH₂Cl₂ solution. The resultant mixture was washed with deionized water $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and then brine $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with 10:1 hexanes/CH₂Cl₂ (2×10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 96 mg (91%) of a clear, colorless oil: [a]²³_D 31.2° (c 0.87, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 3320, 2970, 2840, 1620, 1520, 1460, 1390, 1300, 1250, 965 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.17 (m, 7H, ArH), 6.80 (d, J = 8.7, 2H, ArH), 6.40 (d, $J = 15.8, 1H, C_4-C_{6'}-H), 6.22 (m, 1H, C_4-C_{5'}-H), 5.45 (s, 1H, C_2-H),$ 4.10 (m, 1H, C₆-H), 3.88 (dd, J = 1.0, 9.8, 1H, C₄-H), 3.75 (s, 3H, ArOCH₃), 3.60 (m, 1H, C₄-C_{2'}-H), 3.50 (t, $J = 11.1, 1H, C_6$ -H), 2.32 (m, 1H, C₄-C₄-H), 2.17-2.08 (m, 3H, C₄-C₄-H, OH, C₅-H), 1.92 (dt, $J = 1.6, 7.4, 1H, C_4-C_{1}-H), 1.82 (m, 1H, C_4-C_{3}-H), 0.99 (d, J = 7.0, -100)$ 3H, C₄-C₁'-H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.7, 3H, C₄-C₃'-CH₃), 0.75 (d, J = 6.7, 3H, C₅-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 137.3, 131.2, 131.0, 129.1, 128.4, 127.1, 126.8, 125.9, 113.4, 100.8, 82.5, 75.4, 73.1, 55.1, 38.0, 36.0, 35.7, 30.3, 12.9, 11.9, 10.6. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₃₄O₄: C, 76.06; H, 8.34. Found: C, 76.14; H, 8.29.

[2S,4S,4(1R,2S,3R,5E),5S]-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-4-(1,3dimethyl-6-phenyl-2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-5-hexenyl)-1,3dioxane (15). To a solution of 900 mg (1.72 mmol) of alcohol 14 in 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was at 0 °C were added 275 µL (253 mg; 2.36 mmol) of 2,6-lutidine and 542 µL (624 mg; 2.36 mmol) of tert-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate. This was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched by addition of 10 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20) mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 20 mL of 0.5 M aqueous NaHSO4 solution. The aqueous wash was back-extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 1.03 g (100%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D 12.1° (c 0.92, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 2960, 2940, 2860, 1620, 1520, 1465, 1390, 1305, 1250, 1100, 1045, 970, 835, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.19 (m, 7H, ArH), 6.85 (d, J = 8.7, 2H, ArH), 6.38 (d, $J = 15.8, 1H, C_4-C_{6'}-H), 6.20 (quin, 1H, C_4-C_{5'}-H), 5.47 (s, 1H, C_2-H),$ 4.13 (dd, $J = 4.7, 11.1, 1H, C_6-H$), 3.81–3.77 (m, 5H, Ar-OCH₃, C₄-H, C_4-C_2-H , 3.50 (t, J = 11.1, 1H, C_6-H), 2.28–2.06 (m, 3H, $C_4-C_4-H_2$, C5-H), 1.86-1.84 (m, 2H, C4-C1'-H, C4-C3'-H), 0.97-0.91 (m, 15H, C_4-C_1 , C_4-C_3 , C_4-C_3 , C_4-C_3 , $SiC(CH_3)_3$, 0.75 (d, $J = 6.7, 3H, C_5-CH_3$), 0.01 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.2 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 137.8, 131.6, 130.8, 130.3, 128.5, 127.3, 126.8, 125.9, 113.5, 100.6, 81.0, 75.8, 73.3, 55.2, 38.8, 38.6, 36.4, 30.3, 26.4, 18.6, 12.4, 12.3, 10.0, -2.7, -3.8. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₄₈O₄Si: C, 73.23; H, 9.22. Found: C, 73.14; H, 9.11.

[25,35,4R,55,6R,8E]-2,4,6-Trimethyl-9-phenyl-5-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-3-((4-methoxphenyl)methoxy)-1-non-8-enol (16). To a solution of 1.60 g (3.0 mmol) of acetal 15 in 18 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C was added 9.0 mL (9.0 mmol) of 1.0 M diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) solution in toluene. The resultant solution was stirred at 0 °C for 6 h and then quenched by careful addition of 1.3 mL of methanol, followed by 45 mL of saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate solution and 27 mL of CH₂Cl₂. This was stirred vigorously for 10 h at ambient temperature to give a clear, biphasic mixture. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 1.62 g (100%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D = 0.57^{\circ}$ (c 1.05, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 3330 (b), 2960, 2930, 2860, 1615, 1515, 1460, 1250, 1040, 965, 905, 830, 730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39-7.22 (m, 7H, ArH), 6.86 $(d, J = 8.5, 2H, ArH), 6.44 (d, J = 15.8, 1H, C_9-H), 6.20 (m, 1H, C_8-H),$ 4.61 (q, J = 8.3, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.80–3.75 (m, 5H, ArOCH₃, C₃-H, C₅-H), 3.65 (m, 2H, C₁-H₂), 2.35 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 2.18 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 2.00-1.86 (m, 3H, C₄-H, C₂-H, C₆-H), 1.07 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 1.06-1.00 (m, 15H, C4-CH3, C6-CH3, SiC(CH3)3), 0.18 (s, 6H, SiCH3); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 137.4, 131.1, 130.3, 129.5, 128.9, 128.3, 126.8, 125.7, 113.7, 84.8, 77.0, 74.7, 65.7, 55.0, 42.0, 38.4, 38.2, 36.4, 26.0, 18.4, 15.1, 13.9, 11.4, -3.2, -3.9. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₅₀O₄-Si: C, 72.96; H, 9.56. Found: C, 72.86; H, 9.45.

[2S,3S,4R,5S,6R,8E]-9-Iodo-2,4,6-trimethyl-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy)-5-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-1-non-8-enol (17). To a solution of 250 mg (0.470 mmol) of olefin 16 in 11 mL of 10:3:1 tertbutyl alcohol/THF/water solution were added 111 mg (0.950 mmol) of 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide and $320 \,\mu L$ (0.050 mmol) of 0.15 M aqueous OsO4 solution. This was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, and then 3.3 mL of deionized water was added, followed by 200 mg (2.4 mmol) of NaHCO₃ and 305 mg (1.4 mmol) of NaIO₄. After being stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h, the mixture was concentrated to half its volume in vacuo. The residue was then dissolved in 40 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂SO₃ solution and extracted with Et₂O (3×50 mL). The organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 210 mg (98%) of the aldehyde as a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D = 6.3^{\circ}$ (c 0.59, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3431 (b), 2957, 2931, 2856, 1724, 1613, 1514, 1463, 1250, 1039, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.70 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.20 (d, J = 8.6, 2H, ArH), 6.82 (d, J = 8.6, 2H, ArH), 4.51 $(q, J = 10.4, 2H, ArCH_2), 3.74$ (s, 3H, ArOCH₃), 3.64-3.56 (m, 4H, C_8-H_2 , C_4-H , C_6-H), 2.50 (q, J = 10.4, 1H, C_2-H), 2.33–2.31 (m, 2H, C_2 -H, C_5 -H), 1.84–1.81 (m, 2H, C_3 -H, C_7 -H), 0.97 (d, J = 7.2, 3H, C_3 -CH₃), 0.94 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_7 -CH₃), 0.91 (d, J = 6.3, 3H, C_5 -CH₃), 0.87 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) § 201.9, 159.3, 130.5, 129.0, 113.9, 84.4, 77.4, 74.6, 66.0, 55.2, 49.0, 41.9, 38.6, 31.0, 26.1, 18.5, 15.2, 14.5, 11.3, -3.1, -3.9; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 475.2856, found 475.2847.

To a slurry of 325 mg (3.04 mmol) of flame-dried chromous chloride in 0.7 mL of THF was added a solution of 120 mg (0.304 mmol) of the above aldehyde and 312 mg (0.912 mmol) of iodoform in dioxane (4.2 mL + 1.0 mL wash) via cannula. The resultant brown suspension was stirred at room temperature for 20 h and then diluted with 200 mL of Et₂O and poured into 200 mL of 1:1 brine/water. The aqueous layer was separated, saturated with NaCl, and extracted with Et_2O (2 × 200 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture by ¹H NMR (500 MHz) showed a 14:1 ratio of (E)- to (Z)-olefins. Purification by flash chromatography gave 121 mg (80%) of a clear, colorless oil:⁶⁵ [α]²³_D-2.2° (c 0.90, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3438 (b), 2957, 2929, 2852, 1612, 1514, 1462, 1249, 1037, 835, 772 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (dd, J = 1.9, 4.3, 2H, ArH), 6.88 (dd, J = 2.0, 6.6,2H, ArH), 6.45 (m, 1H, C₈-H), 6.01 (d, J = 14.3, 1H, C₉-H), 4.55 (q, $J = 4.2, 2H, ArCH_2$, 3.79 (s, 3H, ArOCH₃), 3.71 (m, 1H, C₁-H), 3.65- $3.62 (m, 2H, C_1-H, C_5-H), 3.55 (dd, J = 3.9, 6.3, 1H, C_3-H), 2.11 (m, 3.62)$ 1H, C7-H), 1.99 (m, 1H, C7-H), 1.89-1.83 (m, 2H, C2-H, C4-H), 1.70 (m, 1H, C₆-H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4, 6H, C₂-CH₃, C₄-CH₃), 0.91–0.89 (m, 12H, C₆-CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.10 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 145.6, 130.5, 129.1,1 114.0, 84.8, 77.2, 75.4, 74.8, 65.9, 55.3, 42.1, 41.5, 38.5, 35.8, 26.2, 18.5, 15.3, 13.9, 11.4, -3.1, -3.8. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₅IO₄Si: C, 54.16; H, 7.87. Found: C, 54.08; H, 8.04.

[2R,3R,4R,5S,6R,8E]-9-Iodo-2,4,6-trimethyl-5-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy)-8-nonenal (18). To a solution of 67 µL (98 mg; 0.77 mmol) of oxalyl chloride in 1.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added 109 μ L (121 mg; 1.55 mmol) of DMSO. This was stirred at -78 °C for 20 min, and then 340 mg (0.645 mmol) of alcohol 17 in CH₂Cl₂ (0.8 mL + 0.4 mL wash) was added dropwise via cannula. After 15 min, 214 μ L (156 mg; 1.55 mmol) of Et₃N was added. The resultant cloudy mixture was allowed to warm to -10 °C over 1 h and was then poured into 30 mL of 1:1 brine/water solution and 30 mL of Et₂O. The organic layer was separated and washed further with 30 mL of 1:1 brine/water solution and then 30 mL of brine. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with Et_2O (2 × 30 mL), and the organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 310 mg (93%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 7.3^{\circ}$ (c 0.87, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2955, 2930, 2884, 2956, 1723, 1613, 1586, 1514, 1462, 1249, 1039, 836, 773 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.79 (d, J = 2.6, 1H, CHO), 7.22 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, ArH), 6.88 (d, J = 8.6, 2H, ArH), $6.42 (m, 1H, C_8-H), 6.00 (d, J = 14.3, 1H, C_9-H), 4.49 (s, 2H, ArCH_2),$ 3.81-3.79 (m, 4H, C₃-H, ArOCH₃), 3.67 (dd, $J = 1.7, 6.8, 1H, C_5$ -H), 2.67 (m, 1H, C₂-H), 2.10 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 1.98 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 1.82 (m,

(65) Trace amounts of the (Z)-olefin may be removed by preparative HPLC.

1H, C₄-H), 1.72 (m, 1H, C₆-H), 1.10 (d, $J = 7.0, 3H, C_2$ -CH₃), 0.98 (d, $J = 7.1, 3H, C_4$ -CH₃), 0.91 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.88 (d, $J = 6.8, 3H, C_6$ -CH₃), 0.09 (s, 3H SiCH₃), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.1, 159.2, 145.5, 130.4, 128.8, 113.8, 80.8, 76.8, 75.5, 73.7, 55.2, 50.0, 42.0, 41.6, 35.7, 26.1, 18.4, 13.8, 11.6, 11.2, -3.3, -3.9; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 597.1875, found 597.1901.

[3(2R,4R),4R]-3-(2,4,-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxo-4-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl)butyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (19). To a solution of 8.08 g (28 mmol) of imide 7 in 120 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -10 °C were added 3.36 mL (5.84 g; 30.8 mmol) of TiCl₄ and 5.36 mL (4.00 g; 30.8 mmol) of i-Pr₂NEt. Enolization was allowed to occur for 1 h at -78 °C before 12.3 mL (12.3 g; 84 mmol) of 2-ethyl-2-ethoxy-1,3-dioxalane⁴¹ was added. The mixture was then allowed to warm to -50 °C over 3.5 h and stirred at -50 °C for 15 h before being quenched with 50 mL of aqueous pH 7 phosphate buffer solution. The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and then poured into 200 mL of deionized water and 600 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was separated and washed further with 200 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and then 200 mL of deionized water. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with $CH_2Cl_2~(2\,\times\,200$ mL) and the organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture by HPLC (25% EtOAc/ hexane, flow rate 2 mL/min, 254 nm) showed a 12:1 ratio of 19 to an unidentified diastereomer. Purification by flash chromatography gave 9.49 g (87%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D$ -90.0° (c 0.90, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 2980, 2940, 2880, 1790, 1595, 1455, 1355, 1275, 1245, 1180, 1045 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28-7.13 (m, 5H, ArH), 5.01 (q, J = 7.1, 1H, C₂-H), 4.63 (m, 1H, H₃), 4.16–4.09 (m, 2H, H₄, H_5), 3.93–3.86 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.22 (dd, $J = 3.2, 13.4, 1H, H_1$), $3.15 (q, J = 7.0, 1H, C_4-H), 2.72 (dd, J = 9.6, 13.4, 1H, H_2), 1.61 (q, J = 0.6, 13.4, 1H, H_2)$ $J = 7.4, 2H, CH_2CH_3), 1.41 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C_2-CH_3), 1.07 (d, J = 7.0, J)$ 3H, C₄-CH₃), 0.79 (t, J = 7.3, 3H, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) & 207.2, 170.6, 153.4, 135.2, 129.4, 128.9, 127.3, 112.2, 66.2, 65.6, 65.2, 55.6, 52.2, 50.6, 38.0, 27.6, 13.9, 12.3, 7.1; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 412.1736, found 412.1762. Anal. Calcd for C21H27NO6: C, 64.77; H, 6.99. Found: C, 64.38; H, 7.26.

[3(2R,3S,4S),4R]-3-(3-Hydroxy-2,4,-dimethyl-1-oxo-4-(2-ethyl-1,3dioxan-2-yl)butyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (20). To a solution of 730 mg (18.7 mmol) of ketone 19 in 93 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -25 °C was added 18.7 mL (37.5 mmol) of 0.2 M $Zn(BH_4)_2$ in $Et_2O.^{66}\,$ This was allowed to warm to -12 °C over 3 h, and 30 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution was added (T < 0 °C). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then poured into 120 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 60 mL of brine. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 60 mL), and the organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated invacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 600 mg (82%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 37.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.18, CCl₄); IR (CCl₄) 3550 (b), 2975, 2880, 1790, 1695, 1605, 1455, 1265, 1170, 1040 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.34-7.19 (m, 5H, ArH), 4.66 (m, 1H, H₃), 4.26 (d, J = 9.1, 1H, C₃-H), 4.21–4.15 (m, 2H, H₄, H_5 , 4.03–3.96 (m, 5H, C₂-H, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.23 (dd, J = 3.2, 13.4, 1H, H_1), 2.76 (dd, J = 9.5, 13.4, 1H, H_2), 1.96 (dq, J = 1.3, 7.1, 1H, C_4 -H), 1.77-1.69 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.37 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C₂-CH₃), 0.94-0.90(m, 6H, C₄-CH₃, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.8, 152.7, 135.0, 129.4, 128.9, 127.3, 114.4, 71.1, 65.9, 65.5, 64.8, 55.0, 41.4, 39.9, 37.6, 28.0, 15.2, 8.0, 7.3. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₉NO₆: C, 64.43; H, 7.47. Found: C, 64.04; H, 7.43.

[3(2R,3S,4S),4R]-3-(2,4,-Dimethyl-1-oxo-3-((1,1dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-4-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl)butyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (21). To a solution of 2.60 g (6.6 mmol) of alcohol 20 in 65 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C were added 1.00 mL (0.92 g; 8.6 mmol) of 2,6-lutidine and 1.82 mL (2.09 g; 7.9 mmol) of tert-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate. This was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched by addition of 20 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 20 mL of 0.5 M aqueous NaHSO₄ solution. The aqueous wash was back-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 2.97 g (89%) of a colorless crystalline solid: mp 88-89 °C; [α]²³_D -62.0° (c 0.95, CH₂Cl₂); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2957, 2935, 2884, 2857, 1780, 1698, 1463, 1383, 1210, 1050, 837 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.35-7.20 (m, 5H, ArH), 4.62 (m, 1H, H₃), 4.18

(dd, $J = 2.9, 6.3, 1H, C_3-H$), 4.17–4.14 (m, 2H, H₄, H₅), 4.01 (quin, $J = 6.6, 1H, C_2-H$), 3.93–3.91 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.25 (dd, $J = 3.2, 13.4, 1H, H_1$), 2.76 (dd, $J = 9.7, 13.4, 1H, H_2$), 2.09 (dq, $J = 2.9, 7.2, 1H, C_4-H$), 1.70 (dq, $J = 5.8, 7.4, 2H, CH_2CH_3$), 1.23 (d, $J = 7.0, 3H, C_2-CH_3$), 0.95 (d, $J = 7.2, 3H, C_4-CH_3$), 0.91–0.84 (m, 12H, CH₂CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.10 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.07 (, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.9, 152.9, 135.4, 129.4, 128.9, 127.3, 113.7, 72.1, 65.8, 65.1, 64.9, 55.5, 44.2, 44.0, 37.8, 27.5, 26.1, 18.4, 14.4, 11.1, 7.5, -3.97, -4.00; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 528.2757, found 528.2753.

[2(15,25,3R)]-2-Ethyl-2-(1,3-dimethyl-2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-4-(ethylthio)-4-oxobutyl)-1,3-dioxalane (22). To a solution of 237 µL (199 mg; 3.2 mmol) of ethanethiol in 12 mL of THF at -78 °C was added 1.73 mL (2.6 mmol) of 1.50 M n-butyllithium in hexane. The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C, at which time it became cloudy. A solution of imide 21 (600 mg; 1.17 mmol) in THF (10 mL + 10 mL wash) was added via cannula. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then poured into 300 mL of Et₂O and 120 mL of 1 M aqueous NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated and washed with 120 mL of brine. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with 120 mL of Et₂O, and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 448 mg (98%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}$ -34.0° (c 0.86, CH2Cl2); IR (film) 2933, 2884, 2857, 1680, 1462, 1254, 1051, 960, 834, 755; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.14 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.8, 1H, C_2 - C_2 -H), 3.95-3.87 (m, 4H, C_4 -H₂, C_5 -H₂), 2.83 (dt, J = 3.0, 7.0, 1H, C_2 - C_3 -H), 2.77 (q, J = 7.5, 2H, CH_2S), 1.96 (m, 1H, C_2 - C_{1} -H), 1.58 (m, 2H, C_{2} -CH₂), 1.18 (t, J = 7.4, 3H, $CH_{3}CH_{2}S$), 1.07 $(d, J = 7.0, 3H, C_2-C_3-CH_3), 0.86-0.77 (m, 15H, C_2-CH_2CH_3, C_2-CH_2CH_3)$ C1-CH3, SiC(CH3)3), -0.01 (s, 3H, SiCH3), -0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.2, 113.7, 72.2, 65.0, 64.9, 55.1, 42.4, 27.0, 26.1, 23.1, 18.4, 14.6, 11.5, 10.7, 7.4, -4.0, -4.3; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 413.2158, found 413.2156. Anal. Calcd for C19H38O4SSi: C, 58.42; H, 9.80. Found: C, 58.58; H, 9.69.

[2(15,25,3R)]-2-Ethyl-2-(1,3-dimethyl-2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-formylpropyl)-1,3-dioxalane (23). To a solution of 250 mg (0.64 mmol) of thioester 22 in 6 mL of acetone were added 34 mg (0.03 mmol) of 10% Pd/C and 204 μ L (149 mg; 1.28 mmol) of triethylsilane. Gas evolution was immediately observed. After 15 min, TLC analysis indicated incomplete reaction, so another $102 \,\mu L$ (75 mg; 0.64 mmol) of triethylsilane was added. Thirty minutes later the mixture was filtered through a short column of silica gel with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed to give 211 mg (100%) of a clear, colorless liquid: [a]²³_D -58.0° (c 0.81, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2936, 2885, 2858, 1723, 1463, 1254, 1048, 836, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 9.84 (s, 1H, CHO), 4.25 (t, $J = 3.8, 1H, C_2 - C_2 - H$), 3.94-3.89 $(m, 4H, C_4-H_2, C_5-H_2), 2.63 (dt, J = 3.5, 6.8, 1H, C_2-C_1-H), 1.68-1.58$ (m, 2H, C₂-CH₂), 1.01 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₂-C₃-CH₃), 0.90-0.81 (m, 15H, C₂-CH₂CH₃, C₂-C₁-CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.5, 113.6, 71.2, 65.0, 64.9, 53.8, 41.6, 26.5, 26.0, 18.3, 11.2, 8.3, 7.1, -3.9, -4.4; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 353.2124, found 353.2101.

dimethylsiloxy)-6-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl)-2-hexenoate (24). To a solution of $520 \,\mu L$ (561 mg; 2.2 mmol) of tert-butyl diethylphosphonoacetate in 5 mL of THF was added 1.36 mL (2.1 mmol) of 1.54 M n-butyllithium in hexane over a 2-min period. The resultant solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 45 min, and then 210 mg (0.635 mmol) of aldehyde 23 in THF (3 mL + 1 mL wash) was added via cannula. After 30 min, the mixture was poured into 100 mL of EtOAc and 100 mL of aqueous pH 7 phosphate buffer. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with EtOAc (2 \times 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered through a short column of silica gel with EtOAc, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 272 mg (100%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D -28.0° (c 0.61, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2965, 2935, 2884, 1714, 1650, 1463, 1367, 1254, 1158, 1049, 837, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.97 (dd, J = 6.1, 15.9, 1H, C₃-H), 5.60 (dd, J = 1.6, 15.8, 1H, C₂-H), 3.90-3.83 (m, 5H, C5-H, OCH2CH2O), 2.44 (m, 1H, C4-H), 1.76 (dq, $J = 2.7, 7.2, 1H, C_6-H), 1.61-1.52$ (m, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.42 (s, 9H, $OC(CH_3)_3$, 0.93 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C₄-CH₃), 0.84-0.78 (m, 15H, C₆-CH₃, CH2CH3, SiC(CH3)3), 0.006 (s, 3H, SiCH3), -0.005 (s, 3H, SiCH3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.0, 150.7, 122.0, 113.9, 79.8, 73.4, 65.0, 64.9, 43.5, 41.1, 28.1, 26.5, 26.0, 18.3, 13.2, 11.0, 7.1, -3.8, -4.4;

⁽⁶⁶⁾ For optimum yields, this reaction requires that the zinc borohydride be freshly prepared and free of residual zinc chloride. See ref 62.

HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 451.2856, found 451.2878. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₄₄O₅Si: C, 64.44; H, 10.35. Found: C, 64.53; H, 10.73.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S]-4,6-Dimethyl-7-oxo-5-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-2-nonenoate (25). To a solution of 400 mg (0.93 mmol) of ketal 24 in 22 mL of acetone was added 100 mg of FeCl₃·SiO₂ complex. After being stirred at ambient temperature for 11 h, the mixture was filtered through a short column of silica gel with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue purified by flash chromatography to give 342 mg (95%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ -12.6° (c 1.20, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2933, 2858, 1714, 1652, 1462, 1367, 1254, 1154, 836, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.80 $(dd, J = 7.4, 15.8, 1H, C_3-H), 5.63 (dd, J = 1.3, 15.7, 1H, C_2-H), 3.96$ $(dd, J = 4.9, 5.7, 1H, C_5-H), 2.56 (dt, J = 6.1, 7.0, 1H, C_6-H), 2.41 (q, J)$ $J = 7.3, 2H, C_8-H_2), 2.33 (m, 1H, C_4-H), 1.42 (s, 9H, OC(CH_3)_3), 1.02$ $(d, J = 7.1, 3H, C_4-CH_3), 0.98-0.94 (m, 6H, C_6-CH_3, C_9-CH_3), 0.83 (s,)$ 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.00 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.05 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.4, 165.8, 150.1, 122.8, 80.1, 75.5, 50.0, 41.4, 35.2, 28.1, 26.0, 18.3, 14.2, 13.1, 7.6, -4.1, -4.2; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 407.2594, found 407.2608. Anal. Calcd for C21H40O4Si: C, 65.58; H, 10.48. Found: C, 66.13; H, 10.62.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S,8R,9S,10S,11S,12R,13S,14R,16E]-9-Hydroxy-17-iodo-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-7-oxo-11-((4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy-5,13-bis((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)heptadeca-2,16-dienoate (26). To a solution of 136 mg (0.354 mmol) of ketone 25 in 1.75 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added 43 µL (74 mg; 0.39 mmol) of TiCl₄ and 74 μ L (55 mg; 0.42 mmol) of *i*-Pr₂NEt. The resultant deep red solution was stirred at -78 °C for 90 min before 176 mg (0.306 mmol) of aldehyde 18 dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 mL + 0.3 mL wash) was added dropwise via cannula. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h and then allowed to warm to -25 °C over a 3-h period. Aqueous pH 7 phosphate buffer solution (2 mL) was then added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. The mixture was poured into 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 15 mL of deionized water. The organic layer was separated and washed with 15 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution and then with 15 mL of deionized water. The combined aqueous extracts were back-extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×15 mL), and the combined organic extracts dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture by HPLC (7% EtOAc/hexane, flow rate 2 mL/min, 254 nm) showed a 97:3 ratio of 26 to an unidentified diastereomer. Purification by flash chromatography gave 240 mg (83%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 10.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.03, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3487 (b), 2956, 2931, 2857, 1712, 1653, 1613, 1515, 1462, 1368, 1252, 1037, 837, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, ArH), 6.85 (d, J = 8.5, 2H, ArH), 6.78 (dd, J = 7.3, 15.8, 1H, C₃-H), 6.44 (m, 1H, C₁₆-H), 6.00 (d, J = 14.4, 1H, C₁₇-H), 5.67 (d, J = 15.8, $1H, C_2$ -H), 4.58 (d, J = 10.3, 1H, ArCH), 4.44 (d, J = 10.2, 1H, ArCH), 4.07 (d, J = 9.3, 1H, C₉-H), 4.02 (t, J = 4.8, 1H, C₅-H), 3.79 (s, 3H, $ArOCH_3$, 3.67 (dd, $J = 2.1, 5.1, 1H, C_{11}-H$), 3.42 (dd, J = 2.0, 7.4, 1H, C_{13} -H), 2.83 (dt, J = 7.0, 9.2, 1H, C_8 -H), 2.65 (dt, J = 4.6, 7.2, 1H, C_6 -H), 2.32 (dt, J = 5.9, 12.6, 1H, C_4 -H), 2.13–2.10 (m, 2H, C_{12} -H, C15-H), 1.97 (m, 1H, C15-H), 1.51-1.47 (m, 11H, C10-H, C14-H, OC- $(CH_3)_3$, 1.20 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_8 -CH₃), 1.15 (d, J = 7.2, 3H, C_6 -CH₃), 1.13 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C₁₂-CH₃), 1.04–1.02 (m, 6H, C₄-CH₃, C₁₄-CH₃), 0.92-0.88 (m, 21H, C10-CH3, SiC(CH3)3), 0.15 (s, 3H, SiCH3), 0.13 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.01 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.4, 165.6, 159.4, 150.1, 145.5, 130.1, 129.2, 122.9, 114.0, 87.0, 80.0, 75.8, 75.5, 74.0, 72.0, 55.2, 50.4, 48.8, 42.4, 42.3, 41.3, 37.6, 35.4, 33.6, 28.2, 26.1, 18.41, 18.36, 14.9, 14.3, 14.2, 12.9, 12.7, 11.9, -3.6, -3.9, -4.3, -4.4; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]+ 981.4571, found 981.4600.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S,8R,9S,10R,11R,12R,13S,14R,16E]-17-Iodo-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-7-oxo-11-((4-methoxyphenyl)methoxy)-5,9,13-tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)beptadeca-2,16-dienoate (27). To a solution of 157 mg (0.164 mmol) of alcohol 26 in 3.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -50 °C were added 23 μ L (21 mg; 0.20 mmol) of 2,6-lutidine and 41 μ L (48 mg; 0.18 mmol) of *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate. This was allowed to warm to -25 °C over 45 min and then kept at that temperature for 3 h. The reaction was stopped by addition of 0.5 mL of MeOH and stirring at -25 °C for 15 min. The mixture was then poured into 15 mL of 0.3 M aqueous NaHSO4 solution and 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by flash chromatography gave 169 mg (96%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}_D$ -5.6° (c 0.68, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2956, 2930, 2857, 1713, 1652, 1613,

1514, 1463, 1251, 1040, 836, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 8.6, 2H, ArH), 6.85 (d, J = 8.6, 2H, ArH), 6.80 (dd, J = 6.9, 15.8, 1H, C₃-H), 6.35 (m, 1H, C₁₆-H), 5.92 (d, J = 14.3, 1H, C_{17} -H), 5.60 (dd, J = 1.3, 15.8, '1H, C_2 -H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.1, 1H, ArCH), 4.46 (d, J = 11, 1H, ArCH), 4.20 (t, J = 4.0, 1H, C₉-H), 4.08 $(dd, J = 3.6, 5.4, 1H, C_5-H), 3.60 (dd, J = 1.4, 5.9, 1H, C_{11}-H), 3.37$ $(dd, J = 1.5, 7.7, 1H, C_{13}-H), 2.83 (dt, J = 4.7, 6.9, 1H, C_8-H), 2.77$ $(dt, J = 5.7, 2.2, 1H, C_6-H), 2.26 (m, 1H, C_4-H), 2.06 (m, 1H, C_{15}-H),$ 1.92 (m, 1H, C₁₅-H), 1.79-1.77 (m, 3H, C₁₀-H, C₁₂-H, C₁₄-H), 1.45 (s, 9H, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.08 (d, J = 2.6, 3H, C₈-CH₃), 1.07 (d, J = 2.8, 3H, C_6 - CH_3), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_4 - CH_3), 0.91 (d, J = 7.2, 3H, C_{10} - CH_3), 0.88–0.83 (m, 30H, C_{12} -CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.79 (d, $J = 7.0, 3H, C_{14}$ -CH₃), 0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.03 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.00 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.04 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.8, 165.8, 158.9, 150.8, 145.6, 131.1, 128.3, 122.3, 113.7, 81.2, 79.9, 78.4, 75.2, 74.2, 72.9, 72.4, 55.3, 50.9, 49.6, 42.0, 41.4, 40.3, 35.9, 28.2, 26.3, 26.2, 26.1, 18.6, 18.5, 18.4, 14.5, 13.6, 13.2, 12.9, 10.4, -3.2, -3.5, -3.6, -3.8, -4.0, -4.2; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 1095.5435, found 1095.5453.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S,8R,9S,10R,11R,12R,13S,14R,16E]-11-Hydroxy-17-iodo-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-7-oxo-5,9,13-tris((1,1dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-heptadec-2,16-dienoate (28). To a solution of 78 mg (0.073 mmol) of ester 27 in 2.4 mL of 18:1 CH₂Cl₂/water at 5 °C was added 20 mg (0.087 mmol) of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4benzoquinone (DDQ). After the mixture was stirred vigorously for 1 h, 4 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution was added to the dark green solution, and the resultant orange mixture was poured into 10 mL of deionized water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 69 mg (100%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D = 6.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.86, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3486 (b), 2956, 2930, 2857, 1713, 1650, 1472, 1462, 1367, 1255, 1150, 990, 836, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.78 (dd, J = 7.2, 15.7, 1H, C₃-H), 6.34 (m, 1H, C₆-H), 5.92 (d, J = 14.6, 1H, C_{17} -H), 5.61 (dd, J = 1.2, 15.8, 1H, C_{2} -H), 4.29 (dd, J = 1.3, 6.2, 1H, C_9 -H), 4.01 (dd, J = 3.5, 5.8, 1H, C_5 -H), 3.64 (d, J = 10.1, 1H, C_{11} -H), 3.52-3.50 (m, 2H, C13-H, OH), 2.81 (m, 1H, C8-H), 2.70 (m, 1H, C6-H), 2.22-2.16 (m, 2H, C4-H, C15-H), 1.81-1.70 (m, 2H, C14-H, C15-H), 1.57 (m, 1H, C₁₂-H), 1.47-1.40 (m, 10H, C₁₀-H, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.08 (d, $J = 3.0, 3H, C_8-CH_3), 1.07 (d, J = 3.2, 3H, C_6-CH_3), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8, J = 0.8)$ $3H, C_4\text{-}CH_3), 0.89\text{--}0.80\,(m, 33H, C_{12}\text{-}CH_3, C_{14}\text{-}CH_3, SiC(CH_3)_3), 0.60$ $(d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_{10}-CH_3), 0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH_3), 0.06 (s, 3H, SiCH_3),$ 0.03 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.00 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.04 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.4, 165.8, 150.8, 145.0, 122.7, 81.3, 80.0, 75.3, 74.4, 72.4, 71.1, 49.8, 49.2, 41.4, 40.6, 40.3, 37.3, 36.1, 28.2, 26.2, 26.1, 18.4, 18.3, 15.6, 14.5, 13.7, 13.3, 11.4, 10.8, -3.7, -3.8, -3.9, -4.2, -4.4; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 975.4860, found 975.4887.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S,8R,9R,10S,12S,13S,14R,16E]-17-Iodo-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-7,11-dioxo-5,9,13-tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-heptadeca-2,16-dienoate (29). To a suspension of 237 mg (0.561 mmol) of Dess-Martin periodinane⁴⁷ in 3.0 mL of CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C were added 227 µL (222 mg; 2.8 mmol) of pyridine, followed by 107 mg (0.112 mmol) of alcohol 28 in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL + 0.2 mL wash). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 h, diluted with 60 mL of EtOAc, and washed with 30 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution and 30 mL of saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ solution. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with 30 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 103 mg (96%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D = 0.89^{\circ}$ (c 0.67, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2956, 2931, 2857, 1712, 1653, 1472, 1462, 1254, 1150, 994, 836, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (dd, J = 7.0, 15.8, 1H, C_{3} -H), 6.49 (m, 1H, C_{16} -H), 6.05 (d, $J = 14.4, 1H, C_{17}$ -H), 5.76 (dd, $J = 1.3, 15.8, 1H, C_2-H), 4.34 (dd, J = 3.5, 6.6, 1H, C_9-H), 4.13 (dd, J = 3.5, 6.6, 1H, C_9-H)$ $J = 3.8, 5.7, 1H, C_{5}-H$, 3.96 (dd, $J = 1.8, 7.8, 1H, C_{13}-H$), 3.00 (m, 1H, C₁₂-H), 2.90 (m, 1H, C₆-H), 2.78-2.74 (m, 2H, C₈-H, C₁₀-H), 2.48 (m, 1H, C₄-H), 2.20 (m, 1H, C₁₅-H), 2.00 (m, 1H, C₁₅-H), 1.68 (m, 1H, C_{14} -H), 1.53 (s, 9H, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.15 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C_6 -CH₃), 1.11-1.08 (m, 9H, C₄-CH₃, C₈-CH₃, C₁₀-CH₃), 1.05 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C₁₂-CH₃), 0.94-0.91 (m, 21H, C₁₄-CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.91 (s, 9H, SiC-(CH₃)₃), 0.12 (s, 9H, SiCH₃), 0.07 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.4, 213.5, 165.8, 150.6, 145.4, 122.8, 80.0, 75.4, 74.7, 72.0, 50.44, 50.40, 49.7, 48.9, 41.4, 40.9, 36.3, 28.2, 26.2, 26.1, 18.5, 18.4, 13.8, 13.6, 13.4, 13.1, 12.2, -3.6, -3.8, -3.9, -4.1, -4.2, -4.5; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 973.4704, found 973.4692.

1,1-Dimethylethyl [2E,4S,5R,6S,8R,9S,10S,12S,13S,14R,16E,18E,-20R,22(2S,3R,4S,6R,8S,8(2R),9S)]-20-Ethyl-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-7,11-dioxo-5,9,13-tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-22-[3,9-dimethyl-4-(triethylsiloxy)-8-(2-((1,1dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-1,7dioxaspiro[5.5]undec-2-yl]-2,16,18-docosatrienoate (31). To a solution of 100 mg (0.154 mmol) of vinylboronic acid 4 in 4.8 mL of freshly distilled, degassed THF was added 0.88 mL (0.40 mmol) of 10% aqueous TIOH solution. This was stirred for 5 min, and 52 mg (0.055 mmol) of vinyl iodide 29 in degassed THF (1.0 mL + 0.5 mL wash) was added via cannula, followed by 12.6 mg (0.011 mmol) of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0)⁵⁰ in 0.3 mL of degassed THF. After being stirring for 45 min, the cloudy green suspension was diluted with 30 mL of Et₂O, and anhydrous MgSO₄ was added. This was stirred for 15 min and then filtered through a short column of silica gel with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography to give 59 mg (77%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 18.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.19, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2958, 2858, 1714, 1656, 1462, 1384, 1254, 1073, 989, 836, 776 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.87 (dd, J = 7.0, 11.4, 1H, C₂-H), 6.01-5.92 (m, 2H, C₁₇-H, C₁₈-H), 5.70 (dd, J = 1.3, 8.3, 1H, C₃-H), 5.48(m, 1H, C₁₆-H), 5.31 (dd, J = 5.0, 8.8, 1H, C₁₉-H), 4.28 (dd, J = 3.3, 6.8, 1H, C₉-H), 4.11 (ddd, J = 4.9, 4.9, 1.5, 1H, C₂₅-H), 4.07 (dd, J =3.8, 5.7, 1H, C₅-H), 3.91 (dd, J = 1.8, 7.9, 1H, C₁₃-H), 3.79 (m, 1H, C_{33} -H), 3.70 (dt, J = 1.1, 6.0, 1H, C_6 -H), 2.74 (quin, J = 7.2, 1H, C_8 -H), 2.69 (dd, $J = 3.3, 7.0, 1H, C_{10}-H$), 2.33 (m, 1H, C₂₄-H), 2.16 (m, 1H, C15-H), 2.05 (m, 1H, C29-Hax), 1.93 (m, 1H, C15-H), 1.87 (m, 1H, C20-H), 1.66 (m, 1H, C₂₄-H), 1.60–1.35 (m, 24H, OC(CH₃)₃, C₁₄-H, C₂₀- $H_2, C_{21}-H_2, C_{22}-H_2, C_{26}-H_2, C_{28}-H_2, C_{29}-H, C_{30}-H, C_{32}-H_2), 1.19 (d, J)$ = 6.0, 3H, C_{34} -H₃), 1.08 (d, J = 7.2, 3H, C_6 -CH₃), 1.15-1.12 (m, 9H, C_4 -CH₃, C_8 -CH₃, C_{10} -CH₃), 1.00 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C_{12} -CH₃), 0.93 (t, J= 8.0, 9H, Si(CH₂CH₃)₃), 0.90 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C₃₀-CH₃), 0.88–0.86 (m, 39H, C_{14} -CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.83 (t, J = 7.4, 3H, C_{20} -CH₂CH₃), $0.79 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_{24}-CH_3), 0.55 (q, J = 8.0, 6H, Si(CH_2CH_3)_3),$ 0.08 (s, 9H, SiCH₃), 0.07 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), -0.04 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) & 214.2, 213.7, 165.8, 150.6, 136.6, 132.0, 130.5, 130.2, 122.8, 97.4, 79.9, 76.0, 74.6, 72.1, 71.0, 69.1, 67.84, 67.76, 50.5, 49.5, 48.7, 44.6, 43.6, 41.4, 39.7, 39.1, 37.5, 37.1, 32.1, 30.5, 30.0, 29.7, 28.1, 27.8, 26.5, 26.2, 26.13, 26.08, 25.9, 24.6, 18.41, 18.37, 18.1, 13.6, 13.3, 13.5, 13.2, 12.0, 11.6, 11.2, 6.8, 5.0, 4.2, -3.5, -3.9, -4.2, -4.3, -4.4, -4.5, -4.6; < HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 1428.0078, found 1428.0103.

[2E, 4S, 5R, 6S, 8R, 9S, 10S, 12S, 13S, 14R, 16E, 18E, 20R, 22-(2S, 3R, 4S, 6R, 8S, 8(2R), 9S)]-20-Ethyl-4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14-hexamethyl-7, 11dioxo-5, 9, 13-tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-22-[4-hydroxy-3,9dimethyl-8-(2-((1,1dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-1, 7dioxaspiro[5.5]undec-2-yl]-2, 16, 18-docosatrienoic acid (32). To a solution of 33 mg (0.024 mmol) of ester 31 in 1.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C were added 26 μ L (24 mg; 0.24 mmol) of 2, 6-lutidine and 22 μ L (25 mg; 0.12 mmol) of trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate. After being stirred at 0 °C for 90 min, the mixture was filtered through a short column of silica gel with 30% EtOAc/hexanes and concentrated *in vacuo* to give 33 mg (104%) of the unpurified carboxylic acid as a clear, colorless oil.

To a solution of the carboxylic acid in 0.5 mL of THF was added 29 μ L (28 mg; 0.35 mmol) of pyridine and 290 μ L (0.35 mmol) of a 1.2 M pyridinium hydrofluoride solution buffered with excess pyridine (stock solution prepared from 10 mL of THF, 7 mL of pyridine, and 2.0 g of Fluka pyridinium hydrofluoride). This was stirred at ambient temperature for 6 h and then diluted with 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 15 mL of deionized water. The aqueous layer was acidifed to pH 2.5 by dropwise addition of 0.1 M aqueous NaHSO₄ solution with intermittent shaking. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted further with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂-SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 28.5 mg (98%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 12.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.80, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 3360 (b), 2958, 2931, 2858, 1704, 1657, 1651, 1463, 1383, 1254, 989, 836, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (dd, J = 7.0, 15.8, 1H, C₂-H), 6.04–5.92 (m, 2H, C₁₇-H, C₁₈-H), $5.82 (dd, J = 1.0, 15.3, 1H, C_3-H), 5.50 (quin, J = 6.7, 1H, C_{16}-H), 5.30$ $(dd, J = 8.8, 14.3, 1H, C_{19}-H), 4.28 (dd, J = 3.4, 6.6, 1H, C_{9}-H), 4.19$ $(dt, J = 11.8, 5.8, 1H, C_{25}-H), 4.10 (m, 1H, C_{5}-H), 3.91 (dd, J = 1.4,)$ 7.8, 1H, C₁₃-H), 3.80 (m, 1H, C₃₃-H), 3.73 (dt, $J = 2.2, 7.0, 1H, C_6$ -H), 3.56 (m, 1H, C₃₁-H), 2.96 (quin, J = 7.4, 1H, C₁₂-H), 2.84 (quin, J =6.9, 1H, C₁₂-H), 2.78–2.70 (m, 2H, C₈-H, C₁₀-H), 2.42 (m, 1H, C₄-H), 2.17 (m, 1H, C₁₅-H), 2.03 (m, 1H, C₂₉-H_{ax}), 1.93 (m, 1H, C₁₅-H), 1.86 (m, 1H, C₂₀-H), 1.81 (m, 1H, C₂₄-H), 1.70 (dd, J = 6.2, 12.2, 1H, C₂₆-H_{ax}), 1.67–1.23 (m, 14H, C₁₄-H, C₂₀-H₂, C₂₁-H₂, C₂₂-H₂, C₂₆-H_{eq}, C₂₈-H₂, C₂₉-H_{eq}, C₃₀-H, C₃₂-H₂), 1.18 (d, J = 6.0, 3H, C₃₄-H₃), 1.10 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, C₆-CH₃), 1.07–1.03 (m, 9H, C₄-CH₃, C₈-CH₃, C₁₀-CH₃), 1.01 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C₁₂-CH₃), 0.92 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C₃₀-CH₃), 0.88–0.86 (m, 39H, C₁₄-CH₃, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.85 (t, J = 7.4, 3H, C₂₀-CH₂-CH₃), 0.82 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C₂₄-CH₃), 0.08 (s, 9H, SiCH₃), 0.05 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.01 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.04 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 214.2, 213.8, 170.0, 154.4, 136.6, 132.0, 130.6, 130.4, 120.2, 97.6, 76.0, 74.4, 72.2, 71.1, 69.3, 67.5, 67.3, 50.7, 50.5, 49.6, 48.8, 44.6, 43.4, 41.9, 39.2, 38.1, 37.5, 37.2, 32.0, 30.3, 29.8, 29.7, 27.9, 26.5, 26.22, 26.18, 26.1, 25.9, 24.5, 18.5, 18.4, 18.1, 13.9, 13.5, 13.45, 13.38, 13.2, 12.1, 11.6, 11.0, 4.0, -3.5, -3.9, -4.2, -4.3, -4.5; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 1257.8588, found 1257.8606.

[15,4E,5'5,6S,6'5,6'(2R),7R,85,10R,115,125,145,155,16R,18E,20E,-22R,25S,27R,29R]-7,11,15-Tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-6'-(2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-22-ethyltetrahydro-5',6,8,-12,14,16,29-octamethylspiro[2,26-dioxabicyclo[23.3.1]nonacosa-4,18,20triene-27,2'-[2H]pyran]-3,9,13-trione (33). The seco acid 32 (27 mg; 0.022 mmol) was azeotropically dried with 5 mL of benzene and dissolved in 150 mL of benzene. Triethylamine (183 μ L; 132 mg; 1.32 mmol), 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (135 µL, 213 mg; 0.87 mmol), and anhydrous 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) (27 mg, 0.22 mmol) were added. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, another 27 mg (0.22 mmol) of anhydrous DMAP was added. The cloudy white mixture was stirred for 10 h more before it was diluted with 300 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and washed with 200 mL of 0.1 M aqueous NaHSO₄ solution. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 150 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography gave a clear, colorless oil. Residual benzene was detected in the purified product and removed in vacuo (7 mT, 2 days) to give 23 mg (86%) of lactone 33: $[\alpha]^{23}D - 38.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.10, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) 2956, 2931, 2858, 1718, 1651, 1463, 1385, 1256, 1063, 990, 836, 755, 671 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.01 (dd, $J = 7.0, 15.8, 1H, C_3-H), 6.05-5.93 (m, 2H, C_{17}-H, C_{18}-H), 5.78 (dd, 10.00)$ $J = 1.2, 15.9, 1H, C_2-H$, 5.40 (ddd, $J = 4.6, 8.8, 13.9, 1H, C_{16}-H$), $5.33-5.27 (m, 2H, C_{25}-H, C_{19}-H), 4.27 (dd, J = 1.4, 6.2, 1H, C_{9}-H), 4.15$ $(dd, J = 2.3, 7.5, 1H, C_{23}-H), 3.93 (d, J = 2.1, 7.0, 1H, C_{13}-H), 3.81$ $(q, J = 6.0, 1H, C_{33}-H), 3.72-3.67 (m, 2H, C_5-H, C_{31}-H), 2.96 (quin, C_5-H)$ $J = 7.2, 1H, C_{12}-H$, 2.81 (dq, $J = 2.1, 7.0, 1H, C_6-H$), 2.76 (quin, J= 7.3, 1H, C₈-H), 2.68 (q, J = 7.3, 1H, C₁₀-H), 2.48 (q, J = 6.2, 1H, C_4 -H), 2.17 (dt, J = 15.1, 9.3, 1H, C_{15} -H), 2.09–1.96 (m, 4H, C_{14} -H, C_{15} -H, C_{20} -H, C_{24} -H), 1.81 (dd, J = 5.0, 12.5, 1H, C_{26} -H_{ax}), 1.68-1.50 (m, 8H, C₂₀-CH₂CH₃, C₂₂-H₂, C₂₆-H_{eq}, C₃₀-H, C₃₂-H₂), 1.44-1.25 (m, 6H, C_{21} -H₂, C_{28} -H₂, C_{29} -H₂), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.0, 3H, C_{34}$ -CH₃), 1.086 (d, $J = 6.8, 3H, C_4$ -CH₃), 1.079 (d, $J = 6.3, 3H, C_{10}$ -CH₃), 1.008 (d, J =7.2, 3H, C₆-CH₃), 1.006 (d, J = 3H, C₈-CH₃), 0.938 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_{30} -CH₃), 0.927 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_{12} -CH₃), 0.89 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.88 (s, 18H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.86 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.84 (t, J = 7.4, 3H, C_{20} -CH₂CH₃), 0.81 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_{24} -CH₃), 0.13 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), 0.05 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), 0.03 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.01 (s, 6H, SiCH₃), -0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.8, 213.2, 165.4, 150.5, 136.1, 131.9, 131.5, 131.3, 128.3, 121.4, 97.4, 73.0, 72.8, 72.1, 70.4, 69.5, 69.3, 67.3, 51.8, 50.6, 47.4, 47.2, 44.1, 43.3, 42.7, 37.8, 35.8, 35.5, 34.9, 30.8, 29.8, 29.7, 28.7, 27.7, 26.5, 26.3, 26.1, 25.9, 24.5, 18.6, 18.4, 18.3, 18.0, 16.1, 14.7, 14.0, 13.0, 12.0, 11.4, 11.1, 11.0, 5.1, -3.3, -3.8, -4.07, -4.09, -4.2, -4.4, -4.6; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 1239.8462, found 1239.8477.

[1S,5E,5'S,6'S,6'(2R),7R,8S,10R,11S,12S,14S,15S,16R,18E,20E,-22R,25S,27R,29R]-7,11,15-Tris((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)-6'-(2-((1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsiloxy)propyl)-22-ethyltetrahydro-5',6,8,-12,14,16,29-octamethylspiro[2,26-dioxabicyclo[23.3.1]nonacosa-5,18,20triene-27,2'-[2H]pyran]-3,9,13-trione (34). To a solution of 7.9 mg (0.031 mmol) of N-methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide in 4.0 mL of refluxing CH₂Cl₂ were added a solution of 3.9 mg (0.0031 mmol) of the seco acid 32 and 8.6 μ L (6.2 mg; 0.062 mmol) of Et₃N in 4.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ over an 18-h period via syringe pump. The syringe was rinsed with 0.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and the rinse added to the refluxing mixture over 3 h. The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and washed with 0.1 N aqueous NaHSO₄ solution $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$. The combined aqueous washes were back-extracted with CH2Cl2. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated invacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 1.6 mg (43%) of a clear, colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ -36° (c 0.075, CCl₄); IR (film) 2957, 2931, 2858, 1738, 1711, 1472, 1463, 1386, 1255, 1066, 990, 836, 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.94–5.83 (m, 2H, C₁₇-H, C₁₈-H), 5.43 (t, J = 7.2, 1H, C₃-H), 5.30

(ddd, J = 6.7, 10.8, 14.9, 1H, C₁₆-H), 5.18 (dd, J = 10.2, 16.0, 1H, C₁₉-H), 5.16–5.12 (m, 1H, C₂₅-H), 4.22–4.21 (m, 2H, C₅-H, C₉-H), 3.90 (d, J = 7.0, 1H, C₁₃-H), 3.72 (q, J = 6.1, 1H, C₃₃-H), 3.64–3.61 (m, 2H, C₂₃-H, C₃₁-H), 2.98–2.85 (m, 3H, C₂-H₂, C₁₂-H), 2.74 (quin, J = 6.5, 1H, C₆-H), 2.68 (quin, J = 6.3, 1H, C₈-H), 2.56 (dd, J = 3.0, 7.3, 1H, C₁₀-H), 2.12–1.97 (m, 5H, C₁₄-H, C₁₅-H₂, C₂₀-H, C₂₂-H), 1.70–1.24 (m, 18H, C₄-CH₃, C₂₀-CH₂CH₃, C₂₁-H₂, C₂₂-H₂, C₂₈-H₂, C₂₈-H₂, C₂₉-H₂, C₃₀-H₂, C₃₂-H₂), 1.09 (d, J = 5.9, 3H, C₃₄-H₃), 1.00 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C₁₀-CH₃), 0.91 (d, J = 7.4, 3H, C₈-CH₃), 0.90 (d, J = 7.5, 3H, C₄-CH₃), 0.85–0.74 (m, 48H, C₆-CH₃, C₁₂-CH₃, C₂₀-CH₃, C₃₀-CH₃, Si(C(CH₃)₃)), 0.72 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C₂₄-CH₃), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.02-(-0.06) (m, 12H, SiCH₃), -0.09 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 1239.8462, found 1239.8431.

Rutamycin B (1b). To a solution of 15 mg (0.012 mmol) of lactone 33 in 2.5 mL of 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/CH₃CN was added 1.0 mL of concentrated aqueous hydrofluoric acid (47%). The resultant cloudy mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 8 h and then diluted with 20 mL of deionized water and carefully guenched with 20 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. This was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL), and the combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography gave 9.2 mg (98%) of a clear, colorless oil which solidified on standing. Recrystallization from ether did not noticeably increase the purity of the product (as determined by NMR and mp): mp 128-130 °C; $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D -72.0° (c 0.47, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3491 (b), 2964, 2931, 1705, 1644, 1456, 1380, 1280, 1227, 1188, 1098, 1057, 976, 737 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.60 (dd, J = 9.9, 15.6, 1H, C₃-H), 6.04 (dd, J = 10.4, 13.6, 1H, C_{17} -H), 5.92 (dd, J = 10.4, 15.0, 1H, C_{18} -H), 5.81 (d, J = 15.7, 1H, C₂-H), 5.46 (ddd, J = 6.7, 10.8, 14.9, 1H, C₁₆-H), 5.29-5.26 (m, 1H, C₂₅-H), 5.23 (dd, J = 9.6, 15.0, 1H, C₁₉-H), 4.04 (dq, J = 2.9, 6.3, 1H, C₃₃-H), 4.01-3.97 (m, 2H, C₉-H, C₂₃-H), 3.83-3.79 (m, 2H, C₁₃-H, C_{31} -H), 3.77 (dd, J = 0.7, 10.0, 1H, C_5 -H), 2.85–2.80 (m, 2H, C_8 -H, C_{12} -H), 2.70 (dq, J = 1.5, 8.5, 1H, C_{10} -H), 2.66 (dq, J = 1.0, 7.4, 1H, C₆-H), 2.37 (m, 1H, C₄-H), 2.17-2.05 (m, 4H, C₁₄-H, C₁₅-H₂, C₂₄-H), 1.78 (m, 1H, C₂₀-H), 1.77 (dd, J = 5.1, 12.6, 1H, C₂₆-H_{ax}), 1.72-1.70 (m, 2H, C₂₆-H_{eq}, C₃₀-H), 1.67-1.26 (m, 12H, C₂₀-CH₂CH₃, C₂₁-H₂, C22-H2, C28-H2, C29-H2, C32-H2), 1.24-1.23 (m, 6H, C10-CH3, C34-H3), $1.17 (d, J = 6.5, 3H, C_4-CH_3), 1.09 (d, J = 7.3, 3H, C_6-CH_3), 1.04 (d, J = 7.3, 2H, C_6-CH_3)$ $J = 7.0, 3H, C_8-CH_3), 0.91$ (d, $J = 7.1, 6H, C_{12}-CH_3, C_{14}-CH_3), 0.87$ $(d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_{30}$ -CH₃), 0.816 $(t, J = 7.4, 3H, C_{20}$ -CH₂CH₃), 0.814 $(d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_{24}-CH_3)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 221.8, 216.1, 165.0, 148.4, 137.5, 132.3, 130.5, 129.7, 122.8, 97.4, 73.0, 71.4, 71.1, 70.8, 69.7, 67.5, 64.8, 49.4, 48.7, 47.4, 45.9, 45.7, 42.8, 40.0, 37.5, 35.6, 35.2, 33.5, 31.2, 30.8, 30.7, 29.9, 28.5, 26.6, 24.7, 17.7, 13.3, 12.8, 12.0, 11.3, 9.7, 8.3, 5.0; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + Na]^+$ 783.5023, found 783.5013.

Data for natural rutamycin B: mp 129–130 °C; [α]²³_D-72.8° (c 1.21, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3490 (b), 2966, 2936, 1704, 1642, 1456, 1384, 1283,

1226, 1188, 1096, 976, 912, 733 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₁) δ $6.60 (dd, J = 9.9, 15.6, 1H, C_3-H), 6.05 (dd, J = 10.1, 15.2, 1H, C_{17}-H),$ 5.92 (dd, J = 10.5, 15.0, 1H, C₁₈-H), 5.81 (d, J = 15.6, 1H, C₂-H), 5.46 $(ddd, J = 6.7, 10.8, 14.9, 1H, C_{16}-H), 5.29-5.26 (m, 1H, C_{25}-H), 5.23$ $(dd, J = 9.6, 15.0, 1H, C_{19}-H), 4.05 (dq, J = 2.9, 6.3, 1H, C_{33}-H),$ 4.01-3.97 (m, 2H, C9-H, C23-H), 3.83-3.79 (m, 2H, C13-H, C31-H), 3.77 $(d, J = 10.0, 1H, C_5-H), 2.85-2.80 (m, 2H, C_8-H, C_{12}-H), 2.70 (dq, J)$ = 1.5, 8.5, 1H, C_{10} -H), 2.66 (dq, $J = 1.0, 7.4, 1H, C_6$ -H), 2.37 (m, 1H, C4-H), 2.17-2.05 (m, 4H, C14-H, C15-H2, C24-H), 1.78 (m, 1H, C20-H), 1.77 (dd, J = 5.1, 12.6, 1H, C₂₆-H_{ax}), 1.72-1.70 (m, 2H, C₂₆-H_{eq}, C₃₀-H), 1.67-1.26 (m, 12H, C₂₀-CH₂CH₃, C₂₁-H₂, C₂₂-H₂, C₂₈-H₂, C₂₉-H₂, $C_{32}-H_2$), 1.24–1.23 (m, 6H, $C_{10}-CH_3$, $C_{34}-H_3$), 1.17 (d, J = 6.5, 3H, C_4 - CH_3), 1.09 (d, J = 7.3, 3H, C_6 - CH_3), 1.04 (d, J = 7.0, 3H, C_8 - CH_3), $0.91 (d, J = 7.1, 6H, C_{12}-CH_3, C_{14}-CH_3), 0.87 (d, J = 6.8, 3H, C_{30}-CH_3),$ $0.820 (t, J = 7.4, 3H, C_{20}-CH_2CH_3), 0.816 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, C_{24}-CH_3);$ ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 221.7, 216.1, 165.0, 148.4, 137.5, 132.3, 130.4, 129.7, 122.8, 97.4, 72.9, 71.4, 71.1, 70.8, 69.7, 67.5, 64.7, 49.4, 48.7, 47.4, 45.9, 45.6, 42.7, 40.0, 37.4, 35.6, 35.2, 33.5, 31.2, 30.8, 30.7, 29.9, 29.7, 28.5, 26.5, 24.7, 17.7, 13.3, 12.8, 12.0, 11.2, 9.7, 8.3, 5.0; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for [M + Na]⁺ 783.5023, found 783.5055.

Reported data⁶ for rutamycin B: $[\alpha]_D$ -70.0° (c 1.22, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3490 (b), 2980 (s), 2930 (s), 2890 (m), 2860 (m), 1705 (s), 1690 (m), 1460 (m), 1380 (m), 1337 (w), 1305 (sh), 1278 (s), 1245 (w), 1228 (w), 1188 (m), 1170 (sh), 1130 (w), 1095 (br,m), 1056 (w), 1045 (w), 1015 (sh), 987 (s), 975 (s), 880 (w), 870 (w), 843 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 1H), 5.96 (dd, J = 10, 15, 1H), 5.83 (d, J = 16, 1H), 5.48 (ddd, J = 4, 11, 15, 1H), 5.26-5.34 (m, 2H), 4.0-4.1 (m, 3H), 3.78-3.86 (m, 3H), 2.82-2.89 (m, 2H), 2.71 (dq, J = 4, 11, 15, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.02-2.23(m, 4H), 1.2-1.9 (m, ca. 18H), 1.25 (d, J = 7, 3H), 1.24 (d, J = 6.5, 18H)3H), 1.19 (d, J = 6.5, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 7, 3H), 1.07 (d, J = 7, 3H), 0.93 (d, J = 7, 6H), 0.89 (d, J = 7, 3H), 0,84 (t, J = 7.5, 3H), 0.83 (d, J = 7.5, 3H)7, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 221.41, 216.05, 164.92, 148.39, 137.43, 132.16, 130.39, 129.60, 122.69, 97.26, 72.79, 71.30, 71.02, 70.78, 69.65, 67.40, 64.54, 49.48, 48.77, 47.29, 45.77, 45.77, 40.11, 35.19, 33.43, 30.55, 42.58, 37.39, 35.52, 31.21, 30.84, 29.83, 28.49, 26.47, 24.71, 17.79, 13.48, 13.30, 12.79, 12.07, 11.27, 9.58, 8.27, 5.06; MS (FAB) m/z calcd for $[M + H]^+$ 761, found 761.

Acknowledgment. Support has been provided by the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health. We are grateful to Eli Lilly and Co. for providing samples of natural rutamycin B. We thank Dr. Andrew Tyler of the Harvard Mass Spectrometry Facility for providing mass spectra and acknowledge the NIH BRS Shared Instrumentation Grant Program 1 S10 RR0174801A1 and NSF (CHE88-14019) for providing NMR facilities. Support from Eli Lilly and Merck is also acknowledged.